# GOLDEN MILE: RETAIL IMPACTS ASSESSMENT

### Presentation by Chris Money, EY

arthe

30 November 2020







Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Me Heke Ki Põneke

### Methodology

9

greater WELLINGTON

WAKA KOTAHI

Two workstreams were established to understand both the current state and future expected impacts of proposed improvement options on Golden Mile retailers



#### Workstream 1: Market Assessment

- Current state-of-market, including COVID-19 impacts. Analysis of rents, lease demand growth, tenancy trends and vacancy rates
- Benchmarking with Auckland retailer data over a 3-year period, including rents, yields, net capital values and vacancy rates
- Physical observations of retail street frontage breakdown (to hospitality, business and retail) and current amenities

#### Workstream 2: Case Study Research

 Desktop research of domestic and international case studies from similar corridor transformations

#### **Economic Impacts Analysis**

ACt 1982

Positive and negative impacts of each proposed improvement option on retailers. Specific effects on landlords and tenants also identified



### **Key assumptions**

A range of inputs and assumptions guide our conclusions, indicating how our findings and recommendations were reached

	1
<b> </b> √ —	
<b> </b> √ —	
<b> </b> ✓ —	

#### Inputs

- Utilised most recent retail historical data sets
- First-hand manual data collection, including observation of current amenities
- Domestic and international case studies
- Quantitative analysis of retail economic impact provided by MRCagney (yet to be incorporated)

#### Assumptions

- Access is available to customers of retail premises during entire construction period
- Additional support provided during construction period if required (including possibility of a hardship fund)
- No case study is completely comparable due to unique demographics and attributes of Wellington's Golden Mile
- Source data assumptions apply where data is provided from an external party





, ct 1984

n Act 1982 Evaluation Question: What is the likely impact/opportunity to retailers and businesses?

### **Lambton Quay**

		Score	Justification	Retain Loading Bays	Retain Loading Bays & Taxis
	Status Quo	0	<ul> <li>High street retail with established brands</li> <li>28 parking spaces</li> </ul>	High pedestrian flow Low street front vacancy	
	Option 1	1	<ul> <li>Increased foot traffic and pedestrian activity</li> <li>Better public transit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>11 loading bays, most on the Golden Mile</li> <li>Less risk and greater</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Greater convenience for</li> </ul>
	Option 21Improved transport networks from two bus lanesconvenience for retailers Loading bays for large retailers already located on	customers Marginal benefit to retailers Not a deterrent to accessing retailers if taxi stands were			
	Option 3	2	<ul> <li>75% more footpath space</li> <li>Bike and scooter allowances create long term benefits</li> </ul>	<ul><li>the Terrace and Featherston</li><li>Street</li><li>Retaining makes a '+1'</li></ul>	relocated
<b>WAK</b> NZ TR NZ TR	KA KOTAHI gre	Rater WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL Te Pane Metura Taleo	Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Nel Rick Ri Mede		Let's GET Mellington MOVING

Evaluation Question: What is the likely impact/opportunity to retailers and businesses?

Act 1982

#### **Willis Street**

		Score	Justification	Retain Loading Bays	Retain Loading Bays & Taxis
	Status Quo	0	<ul> <li>High street retail with established brands</li> <li>No parking spaces or taxi stands</li> </ul>	High pedestrian flow Low street front vacancy	
	Option 1	1	<ul> <li>Increased foot traffic and pedestrian activity</li> <li>Better public transit</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 well-utilised large loading bays</li> </ul>	
Option 21bus lanesconvenience for re2No general traffic may limit accessLarger retailers have	<ul> <li>convenience for retailers</li> <li>Larger retailers have loading</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N/A – no taxi stands</li> </ul>			
	Option 3	2	<ul> <li>75% more footpath space</li> <li>Bike and scooter allowances create long term benefits</li> </ul>	<ul><li>bays on Boulcott Street</li><li>Retaining could increase score</li></ul>	
	A KOTAHI gre	Contention Content of	Absolutely Positively Wellington City Council Nelling & Marke		Let's GET Wellington MOVING
		5			

n Act 1982 Evaluation Question: What is the likely impact/opportunity to retailers and businesses?

### **Manners Street**

		Score	Justification	Retain Loading Bays	Retain Loading Bays & Taxis
 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	Status Quo	0	<ul> <li>Mainly secondary retail</li> <li>No parking spaces or taxi stands</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Medium-low pedestrian flow</li> <li>High street front vacancy</li> <li>Lower area character/atmosp</li> </ul>	ohere
	All Options	0	<ul> <li>Increased footpath space</li> <li>Closure to Lower Cuba Street</li> <li>No general traffic</li> <li>One bus lane and one bus stop in each direction</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 loading bay currently</li> <li>Retaining provides little benefit to retailers</li> <li>Unchanged score</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N/A – no taxi stands</li> </ul>
	KA KOTAHI gro	Sater WELLINGTON REGIONAL COURCI Te Pare Metus Talso	Absolutely positively Wellington City Council Relife & Director		Let's GET MOVING

Evaluation Question: What is the likely impact/opportunity to retailers and businesses?

ACt 1982

#### **Courtenay Place**

		Score	Justification	Retain Loading Bays	Retain Loading Bays & Taxis
	Status Quo	0	<ul> <li>Mainly hospitality &amp; entertainment</li> <li>Prominent night life</li> <li>52 parking spaces</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low day pedestrian flow and</li> <li>Medium-high street front vac</li> <li>Saint James Theatre refurbit</li> </ul>	cancy
	Option 1	1	<ul> <li>Minimal increase in pedestrian activity</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>4 taxi stands</li> </ul>
	Option 2	1	<ul> <li>Better public transit</li> <li>Minimal impact from limiting general access, low private vehicle usage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 loading bays</li> <li>Less risk and greater convenience for retailers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Low day utilisation, much greater at night</li> <li>Greater convenience for</li> </ul>
	Option 3	2	<ul> <li>Increased footpath space and modal allowances create long term benefits</li> <li>Subdued impact due to atmosphere</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Retaining creates '+1'</li> </ul>	<ul><li>customers, not a deterrent to the area if relocated</li><li>Retaining makes '+1'</li></ul>
	Tory St	1	<ul> <li>Better accessibility makes a stronger '+1'</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consider promenade fro</li> </ul>	om Te Papa to War Memorial
<b>WAK</b>	KA KOTAHI gra	Eater WELLINGTON REGIONAL COUNCIL To Pase Meture Taleo	Absolute prositively Wellington City Council Refident unde		Let's GET MOVING

### **Comments**

n Act 1982 There are a number of key comments and observations from the market assessment, case studies and economic impacts analysis

	COVID-19 has impacted the retail market	Retailers currently face a difficult operating environment that may compound positive or negative impacts. Vacancy rates are expected to increase from fewer tenants in the market and increased business failure.
	Option 3 expected to deliver best net benefit	Net impacts on retailers expected to be highest in Option 3. Negative impacts are offset by the greatest expected positive impact. Loading bay and taxi bay removal and dedicated modal share spaces give rise to greatest expected uplift in pedestrian numbers and per shopper spend.
	Landlords and tenants are both expected to benefit	Landlords can expect greater lease demand, lower vacancy rates and increased rental appreciation. Tenants can expect increased rent and competition, and higher sales volumes from increased pedestrian footfall and modal share.
	Likely that positives will outweigh negatives	Perceived negatives (particularly from carpark removal) have been raised by lobby groups and local retailers. While these might materialise, they are relatively small compared the positive benefit expected from the options.
		Z JI
<b>WAK</b>	ANSPORT ANS	Let's GET MOVING

