From:	chair@cslb.org.nz
To:	[s 9(2)(a)]
Cc: Subject: Date:	CSLB - Chairs Post February Board Meeting Report Tuesday, 20 February 2024 7:33:14 pm

Good Evening All,

You may remember that I was tasked with 4 follow-ups with various people as a result of last weeks Board meeting. I have completed this and report as follows.

- 1. [^{s 9(2)(a)}] he advised that he is progressing his water boundary essay and apologised for the delay due to work pressures and not responding to PN follow-up email. He intends on submitting the essay for Board approval within the next month and thanked me for the call.
- 2. [s 9(2)(a)] she is in a good space and has learnt a lot from her experience. The supervision under another LCS was valuable and she was particularly appreciative of LINZ audit and follow-up advice and support. I conveyed that the Board is happy with her progress and I remain comfortable that she is on the right path now. The late publication of her order (including name) stirred up the past which she had put behind her, however, she acknowledged that publication was part of the process. Lesson learnt is that the Board needs to ensure that publication of orders need to be made in a timely manner and need to be followed up with the various organisations in the future.
- 3. [\$9(2)(a)] she is at the beginning of her journey of enquiry and really wanted to know if the Board was open to sharing our competency standards with pacific island nations and considering a pathway for pacific island surveyors to become licensed. I advised that there is a pathway for qualified overseas surveyors to become licensed in NZ as well as graduates of recognised qualifications equivalent to NZ and Australia. Apparently, enquiries have already been made of Otago Survey School and I suggested that they may want to explore the University of Southern Queensland courses as they are structured for remote learning which may be particularly suitable.

With regard to OneDrive, he is

completing his investigation in consultation with BC Systems and will report back with his recommendations. He commented that our Website and requirements for the licensing process, including the register are "bespoke, handcrafted and unique". Extensive customisation of any well recognised platform would be required to duplicate it which would be expensive and not very attractive to a provider given our relatively small size. In terms of BC Systems performance he was OK with it but noted that $\begin{bmatrix} s \\ g(2)(a) \end{bmatrix}$ has the majority of the IP (being a small firm) and as a result we are $\begin{bmatrix} s g(2)(a) \end{bmatrix}$ it is unlikely that a big provider would take us on given our size and if they did it would be expensive and $\begin{bmatrix} s g(2)(a) \end{bmatrix}$. $\begin{bmatrix} s g(2)(b) \end{bmatrix}$ He offered to make some enquiries of some MSPs (Managed Service Providers) for possible alternative small scale providers.

I trust that this brings you all up to date. If you have any questions concerning these matters please contact me.

Regards,

s 9(2)(a)]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982