

6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson, Auckland 0612 Private Bag 92250, Auckland 1142, New Zealand **Ph** 09 355 3553 **Fax** 09 355 3550

6 September 2017

Harriet Gale fyi-request-6015-fd24d612@requests.fyi.org.nz

Dear Ms Gale

Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

CAS- 527335-J4Q9V8

Thank you for your letter of 26th July. We have noted your questions below in bold with our responses to follow.

1. Is there any intention of increasing off peak frequencies below 15m or if off-peak of max 15m assumed for the whole RDP?

The Auckland Rail Development Programme (ARDP) was prepared to inform the Auckland Transport Alignment Project (ATAP). It remains a draft programme focussed explicitly on the existing network and reflects the assumptions and information available at the time of preparation. It was recognised at that time that the underpinning assumptions would require review and update.

The ARDP programme itself assumed a 15 minute interpeak service which is the minimum frequency defined in the Passenger Transport Network Plan for the RTN network.

Introduction of higher interpeak frequencies is not precluded and will be considered in due course. Depending on the date of introduction, this would require advancement of some of the proposed infrastructure including grade separation of junctions. A 10 minute all day service would also have a significant impact on operating costs which is not currently provided for.

2. Page 28 has a light blue dots for level crossing programme but can't see any light blue dots, which level crossings are part of the Decade 1 programme?

See below.

2a. What crossings are the "assume 5 closures"?

See below.

2b. What crossings are the "5 separations"?

See below.

3. Also what level crossings are proposed as part of the Decade 2 programme?

The level crossing programme within ARDP / ATAP provides an order of magnitude cost for funding purposes. The programme of level crossing removals remains in development subject to resources



and funding. Given the potential impact on surrounding properties, it would not be appropriate to publish a programme in advance of full assessment and consultation.

We trust the above information has addressed the matters raised however, should you believe that we have not responded appropriately to your request, you have the right in accordance with section 27(3) of the LGOIMA to make a complaint to the Office of the Ombudsman to seek an investigation and review in regard to this matter.

If you have any further queries, please contact Damian Flynn on (09) 355 3553 during business hours, quoting Local Government Official Information request number CAS- 527335-J4Q9V8.

Yours sincerely

Cm Pemis

Christine Perrins

Group Manager Strategic Transport Planning Strategy & Development Division



