Horotiu Cycle Bridge Revision F

NZ Transport Agency

Horotiu Cycle Bridge (previously Te Awa Cycleway Horotiu Section) Revision F - Cost Estimate

	bridge	pathway	comments
client managed costs	160,000 155,000	35,000 155,000	professional fees, Baird land purchase shared across both, prior to design and construction
subtotal contingency 5%	315,000 15,000	190,000 10,000	
subtotal	330,000	200,000	client managed costs incl. overheads, prof fees, client costs and contingency
construction contingency 5%	2,500,000 250,000	1,000,000 100,000	separate construction contracts
subtotal	2,750,000	1,100,000	construction incl. contingency
total	4,38	30,000	total for the completed pathway and bridge

NOTE 1: Cost estimate for Revision F amended according to Jen Palmer email dated 25 May 2017 NOTE 2: Maintenance costs excluded

NZ Transport Agency

Horotiu Cycle Bridge (previously Te Awa Cycleway Horotiu Section) Revision F - Benefit Cost Analysis

benefit source	amount (\$)	DF	UF	NPV	assumptions
travel time	151,692	17.50	1.45	3,849,185	3 7 7 3 7
health & environmental peds	199,564	17.50	1.17	4,086,068	75 new peds per day
health & environmental cyclists	103,478	17.50	1.17	2,118,702	75 new cyclists per day
accident reduction	8,321	11.54	1.03	98,920	1 minor injury and one non-injury, both reduced by 90%
tourist spending	1,199,025	17.50	1.00	20,982,938	10% of 93 daily cyclists are tourists spending \$146 each
Total benefits				31,135,811	
option cost (capital & maintenance)				4,380,000	
Do Minimum cost				566,038	
Total costs				3,813,962	
		BCR		8.2]

NOTE 1: Analysis (except for tourist spending) done in SP11 (figures shown in bold)

NOTE 2: Tourist spending based on the avarage for other trails, assumed that 10% of the daily cyclists are tourists.

existing cyclists on the route new cyclists existing walkers on the route new walkers new route length (km) cycling benefit (\$/cycle-km) walking benefit (\$/ped-km) days/year	150 75 150 75 2.7 1.40 2.70 365	SP11 value SP11 value
assumed proportion of tourists average tourist spending (\$) annual growth rate	10% 146 1.5%	

NZ Transport Agency

Horotiu Cycle Bridge (previously Te Awa Cycleway Horotiu Section) Revision E - Sensitivity Analysis

BCR

8.2

	base case	lower bound		upper bound	
variable	value	value	BCR	value	BCR
capital cost	3,813,962	-20%	9.8	20%	6.5
new cyclists/pedestrians	75	50	7.6	100	8.7
new cycling speed	20	15	8.0	25	8.3
tourist spending	20,982,938	-20%	7.1	20%	9.3
discount rate	6%	4%	10.8	8%	6.4

SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 1 - Evaluation summary

Worksheet 1 provides a summary of the general data used for the evaluation as well as the results of the analysis. The information required is a subset of the information required for assessment in terms of the NZTA's *Planning and Investment Knowledge Base*.

1	Evaluator(s) Reviewer(s)							
2	Activity details Approved organisation name Activity name Your reference	NZ Transport Agency Horotiu Cycle Bridge Revision F Project Number 6050 8651						
	Activity description Describe the issues to be addressed	F	Proposed cycle roo Lac		Ngaruawahia t facilities	o Horoti	iu	
3	Location Brief description of location		Between Horot	iu Bridge	Road to Aman	i Lane		
4	Alternatives and options Describe the do-minimum Summarise the options assessed		facilities cyclists ng off road path w				:	
5	Timing Time zero (assumed construction start of Expected duration of construction (mon Period of analysis		1 July			16 2 0		
6	Economic efficiency Date economic evaluation completed (m Base date for costs and benefits Land designation required	ım/yyyy)	1 July		May 20 yes			
7	Data (only fill the applicable data) Existing pedestrian/cycling volumes Estimated new pedestrian/cyclist volum Estimated motor vehicle volumes Estimated motor vehicle speed Pedestrian/cyclist growth rate Width available for walking/cycling befor Width available for walking/cycling after Length walked/cycled after works Expected reduction in private vehicle tra	re	WS SP11-7)	150	AADT 75 1.50 0.00 3.00 2.70 2.80		2016 AADT AADT km/h % m km km km km km	
8	PV cost of do-minimum					\$	566,038	A
9	PV cost of the preferred option					\$	4,225,204	в
10	Benefit values from worksheet 4, 5, 6PV travel time cost savingsPV facility benefitsPV crash cost savings	5,303,815	C x Update fact D x Update fact E x Update fact	or ^{wcb}	1.45 1.17 1.03	= \$ = \$ = \$	3,849,608 6,205,463 98,915	x Y z
11	$BCR_{N} = \frac{PV \text{ net benefits}}{PV \text{ economic costs}}$	- =	X + Y + Z B - A	= -	10,153,985 3,659,167	=	2.77	

SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 2 - Cost of do-minimum

Worksheet 2 is used for calculating the PV cost of the do-minimum. The do-minimum is the minimum level of expenditure necessary to keep a facility open and generally consists of maintenance work.

1	Historic maint	enance cost data (in	dicate whether	assessed or ac	tual)					
	Maintenance	costs for the site ove	r last three yea	rs						
	Year 1	2013						\$		
	Year 2	2014						\$		
	Year 3	2015						\$		
	Maintenance	costs for the site this	s year	2016				\$		
	Future annua	l maintenance costs						\$		
2	PV of annual	maintenance and ins	pection costs fo	ollowing the wo	rk					
			Annual cost = s	\$		x	15.49=	\$	0	(a)
3	PV of periodic	maintenance costs	(including any o	capital work)						
	Time zero					1st J	uly in the	year	2016	
	Periodic main	tenance will be requi	ired in the follo	wing years:						_
	Year	Type of maint	enance	Amount	:\$		SPPWF		Present value	
	1	immediate cons	struction	600,00	0		0.94		566,038	
				Sum of D	W of po	riodic	maintenar	¢	566,038	(b)
				Sumorr	v or pe	nouic	manicenai	ice y	500,050	(5)
4	PV of annual	operating costs								
			Annual cost = 9	\$		x	15.49=	\$	0	(c)
5	PV cost of the	e do-minimum								
						(a) +	(b) + (C)) = \$	566,038	A
				Transfer the	e PV cos	st of d	o minimur	n A , to	o A on worksheet 1	

SP11 Walking and cycling facilities Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16) Worksheet 3 - Cost of the option(s) Worksheet 3 is used for calculating the PV cost of the walking or cycling facility.					
1 PV of estimated	cost of proposed work (as per attached	estimate sheet)			
	\$	4,380,000	x 0.94 = \$	4,117,200	
2 PV of maintenan	nce in year 1		\$	5,000	
3 PV of annual ma	intenance costs following the work				
	(years 2 to 40 inclusive) \$	5,000	x 14.52 = \$	72,600	
4 PV of periodic m	aintenance costs				
Time zero			1st July in the year	2016	
Periodic mainter	nance will be required in the following ye	ears:			
Year	Type of maintenance	Amount \$	SPPWF	Present Value	
8	general	20,000	0.63	12,548	
16	general	20,000	0.39	7,873	
24	general	20,000	0.25	4,940	
32	general	20,000	0.15	3,099	
40	general	20,000	0.10	1,944	
	S	Sum of PV of periodic	maintenance costs = \$	30,404	
5 PV cost of additi	onal annual maintenance				
	\$		x 14.52 = \$	0	
6 PV of total cost of	of option				
	PV	total costs (a) + (b)	+ (c) + (d) + (e) =	4,225,204	
Transfer the PV total cost for the preferred option B, to B on worksheet 1					

SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 4 - Travel time cost savings

Worksheet 4 is used for calculating pedestrian and cyclist travel time cost savings.

1	Road category (Select)				Urban arterial	
2	Travel time data					
	Walkers and/or cyclists average affected by the improvement)	e annual daily	traffic current (AADT) (or vo	olumes	150	
	Walking or Cycling growth rate	(per annum)			1.50%	
	Travel time cost (TTC)	(Table 4.1b)		\$	23.25	
			Do-minimum		Option	
	Length of route (km)	L ^{dm}	2.80	L ^{opt}	2.70	
	Mean speed	VS ^{dm}	15.00	VS ^{opt}	20.00	
	Relative attractiveness	(Table SP11.	1)		2.00	
3	Annual TTC for the do-minimur	n 	AADT x 365 x L ^{dm} x TTC VS ^{dm}	= \$	237,615	(a)
4	Annual TTC for the option					
			AADT x 365 x L ^{opt} x TTC VS ^{opt} x RA	= \$	85,923	(b)
5	Value of annual TTC savings			(a) - (b) = \$	151,692	(c)
6	PV of travel time cost savings		DF 17.50	(c) x DF = \$	2,654,902	с
	Transfer the PV of travel time of	cost savings fo	r the preferred option C, to	C on workshee	t 1	

SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 5 - Benefits for walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 5 is used to calculate the walking and cycling facility benefits for the various options. Only one category for walking and one category for cycling may be used in an evaluation of a proposal. If an activity contains more categories, they must be submitted as separate evaluations.

Activities that combine walking and cycling may claim benefits for both modes but safety issues arising from pedestrian/cycle conflicts must be addressed, and if there are additional crash costs these must be accounted for in worksheet 6. Make sure the estimates of the new number of pedestrians and/or cyclists generated by the facility are realistic.

Required information:

- L Length of new facility in kilometres
- NPD Number of additional pedestrians per day
- NTD Number of additional cycle trips per day
- NSD Number of additional and existing cycle trips per day
- DF Discount factor. The discount factor may differ by mode depending on the growth rate

	Health a	nd environme	ent benefits for wa	lking facility			
	Pedestrian growth rate (per annum)						
1	Health an	d environment	benefits for footpath	ns and other pedestrian	facilities		
	Benefit =	number of add	litional pedestrians/d	lay x length of new faci	ity in km x 365 x \$2.70		
	L	2.70	x NPD 75	x 365 x \$2.70 x DF	17.50 =	\$ 3,492,756	(a)
2				vements at hazardous s ge widening or intersect	ites ion improvements for pede	strians)	
	Benefit =	number of add	litional pedestrians/	day x 365 x \$2.70			
			NPD 0	x 365 x \$2.70 x DF	17.50 =	\$0	(b)
					Transfer total (a) or (b) to D on workshe	eet 1.
	Health a	nd environme	ent benefits for cyc	ling facility			
	Cyclist gr	owth rate (per	annum)			1.50%	
3	Health an	d environment	benefits for cycle la	nes, cycleways or increa	ased road shoulder widths		
	Benefit =	number of add	litional cycle trips/da	y x length of new facilit	y in km x 365 x \$1.40		
	L	2.70	x NTD 75	x 365 x \$1.40 x DF	17.50 =	\$ 1,811,059	(c)
4	Health an	d environment	benefits from impro	vements at hazardous s	ites		
		_	s, underpasses, bridg litional cycle trips/da		ion improvements for cycli	sts)	
	Denem		NTD	x 365 x \$4.20 x DF	17.50 =	\$ 0	(d)
			MID	x 303 x ¥ 1.20 x B1	Transfer total (c) or (d		• •
	Safety b	enefits for cy	cling facility				
5	-	-		ncreased road shoulder	widths in the absence of a	specific crash	
		number of nev	w and existing cycle t	trips/day x length of ne	w facility in km x 365 x \$0.	05	
	L	2.70	x NSD	x 365 x \$0.05 x DF	17.50 =	\$ 0	(e)
	Safety he	nefit from imp	rovements at hazard	ous sites in the absence	of a specific crash analysi	s (provision of	
6				r intersection improvem			
	Benefit =	number of nev	w and existing cycle t	trips/day x 365 x \$0.15			
			NSD	x 365 x \$0.15 x DF	17.50 =	\$0	(f)
					Transfer total (e) or (f) to E on workshe	eet 1.

Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16)

SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 6 - Crash cost savings

These simplified procedures are suitable only for **crash-by-crash analysis** (method A in appendix A6). There must be 5 years or more crash data for the site and the number and types of crashes must meet the specifications set out in appendix A6.1 and A6.2. If not, either the crash rate analysis or weighted crash procedure described in appendix A6.2 should be used. The annual crash cost savings determined from such an evaluation are multiplied by the appropriate discount factor and entered in worksheet 1 as total E. Evidence to support alternative analysis must be attached.

	Movement category	All movements	Vehicle involvement	Push cycle
1	Do-minimum mean speed	50	Road category	Urban arterial
	Posted speed limit	50	Traffic growth rate	1.50%
2	Option mean speed	50		

			Seve	erity	
	Do-minimum	Fatal	Serious	Minor	Non- injury
3	Number of years of typical crash rate records		5	5	
4	Number of reported crashes over period	0	0	1	1
5	Fatal/serious severity ratio (tables A6.19(a) to (c))	0.08	0.92	1	1
6	Number of reported crashes adjusted by severity (4) x (5)	0	0	1	1
7	Crashes per year = (6)/(3)	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20
8	Adjustment factor for crash trend (table A6.1(a))		0.	86	
9	Adjusted crashes per year = (7) x (8)	0.000	0.000	0.172	0.172
10	Under-reporting factors (tables A6.20(a) to (b))	1	1.5	2.75	7
11	Total estimated crashes per year = (9) x (10)	0.000	0.000	0.473	1.204
12	Crash cost, 100km/h limit (tables A6.21(e) to (h))	3,100,000	330,000	18,000	1,200
13 Crash cost, 50km/h limit (tables A6.21(a) to (d)) 3,100,000 325,000 17,000				1,000	
14	Mean speed adjustment = ((1) - 50)/50		()	
15	Cost per crash = (13) + (14) x [(12) - (13)]	3,100,000	325,000	17,000	1,000
16	Crash cost per year = (11) x (15)	-	-	8,041	1,204
17	Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (16) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury)	\$9,245			
	Option				
18	Percentage crash reduction	0	0	90	90
19	Percentage of crashes 'remaining' [100 - (18)]	100	100	10	10
20	Predicted crashes per year (11) x (19)	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.12
21	Crash cost, 100km/h limit (tables A6.21(e) to (h))	3,100,000	330,000	18,000	1,200
22	Crash cost, 50km/h limit (tables A6.21(a) to (d))	3,100,000	325,000	17,000	1,000
23	Mean speed adjustment = ((2) - 50)/50		()	
24	Cost per crash = (22) + (23) x [(21) - (22)]	3,100,000	325,000	17,000	1,000
25	Crash cost per year = (20) x (24)	-	-	804	120
26	Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (25) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury	\$925			
27	Annual crash cost savings = (17) - (26)		\$8,	321	
28	PV crash cost savings = (27) x DF		\$96,	,034	
	Transfer PV of crash cost savings, ${\sf E}$ for the preferred option to ${\sf E}$ on	worksheet 1			

Worksheet 1 - Evaluation Summary and TIO Upload		Upload V1.0 (1Oct13)
This spreadsheet can be automatically uploaded into Transport Investment Activity name Reference	t Online. To enable	e automatic upload please do not adjust the columns or rows. Horotiu Cycle Bridge Revision F Project Number 6050 8651
Fugluetar(a)		
Evaluator(s) - name, organisation Reviewer(s) - name, organisation		0
Date of evaluation	mm/yyyy	y 05-2017
Time zero / implementation start date	1 July yyy	y 2016
Construction duration	Months	
Base date of costs and benefits	1 July yyy	y <u>2016</u>
Location		Between Horotiu Bridge Road to Amani Lane
Problem definition Do minimum description		Lack of cycle facilities Due to lack of facilities cyclists ride in Great South Road traffic
Alternatives considered (or page references to relevant)		
Options considered (or page references to relevant) Preferred option description		Walking/cycling off road path with pedestrian bridge (Rev F) Proposed cycle route from Ngaruawahia to Horotiu
Statistics Road traffic - Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	AADT	Base rate Growth rate (%) New users/transfer
Pedestrians - Annual Average Daily	Count	
Cyclists - Annual Average Daily Annual Patronage - Total	Count	
Annual Patronage - Peak Period	Count	
Freight volume Heavy Vehicles Volume	tonnes AAD1	
Heavy Vehicles Volume	%	
Road Category		Urban arterial
Dauahaaaa	IRI/NAASRA	Before After
Roughness Posted speed	km/h	
Average traffic speed Length of road / route	km/h km	
Road width	metres	
Travel time on route	minutes	s 0 0
		Period start am Period stop am Period start pm Period stop pm
Peak Period Peak Period Traffic flow	Vehicles/h	nr 0
Period of crash analysis	уууу - уууу	y
Dependent exception in marined (new 4 ercent exclusio)		Fatal Serious Minor Non Injury
Recorded crashes in period (row 4 crash analysis) Total estimated crashes per year - do minimum (row 11)		0.0 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 1.2
Predicted crashes per year - preferred option (row 20)		0.0 0.0 0.0 0.1
Heavy Vehicle Trips Saved (average per year)	count	
Vehicle Operating Cost Savings (per annum) Travel time savings (per day)	\$/vehicle minutes	
	minutes	
Costs Construction / implementation	\$	Do minimum Preferred option \$ 0 4 380 000
Present Value Construction / implementation Present Value Maintenance, renewal and operating costs	3	\$ 0 4,117,200 \$ 0 108,004
Present Value Total costs (whole of life)	\$	\$ 566,038 4,225,204
Present Value Cost savings	\$	\$0
Present Value Funding assistance	\$	\$0
Benefits (Present Value)		
Travel time cost savings Vehicle operating cost savings	\$	\$ <u>3849608</u> \$0
Crash cost savings	\$	\$ 98,915
Seal extension benefits Driver frustration reduction benefits	\$	\$ <u>0</u> \$ <u>0</u>
Risk reduction benefits	\$	\$0
Vehicle emission reduction benefits Other external benefits (noise, visual, impact etc)	Ş	\$ <u>0</u> \$ <u>0</u>
Mode change benefits	\$	\$0
Walking and cycling health benefits Service or facility user benefits	\$	\$ <u>0</u> \$ <u>6 205 463</u>
Parking user cost savings	4	\$0
Dis-benefits during implementation/construction Road Traffic reduction benefits	\$	\$ <u>0</u> \$0
National strategic benefits	\$	\$0
Agglomeration benefits (WEB) Increased Labour Supply (WEB)	\$	\$ <u>0</u> \$ <u>0</u>
Imperfect Competition (WEB)	4	\$0
Total Benefits Present Value	\$	\$ <u>10153985.17</u>
Non monetised benefits or national strategic factors		
Benefit Cost Ratio (BCRn) National		2.77
Benefit Cost Ratio (BCRg) Government First Year Rate of Return (FYRR)		0.00 0.13
Sensitivity Analysis - BCR range		0.00 0.00