30 June 2016	ogray matter
CC:	Gray Matter Ltd 2 Alfred Street, PO Box 14178 Hamilton, 3252 Tel: 07 853 8997

38\_05

Dear

# PIAKO BRIDGE CLIP-ON CYCLEWAY: ASSESSMENT PROFILE AND ECONOMIC **EVALUATION**

As discussed in 's email ( dated 23 June 2016) we have completed an economic evaluation of the proposal for a cycle clip-on to the Piako Bridge on SH25. To assist you in completing the TIO application we have also completed a review of the project's assessment profile consistent with the 2015-2018 NLTP assessment framework.

# 1. Summary of Findings

We completed an evaluation of the proposed improvement in accordance with NZTA's Planning and Investment Knowledge Base 2015-2018 NLTP Investment Assessment Framework assessment (https://www.pikb.co.nz/assessment-framework/2015-18-nltp-investment-assessmentmethodology framework-overview/). This included economic evaluation and sensitivity testing for a range of cost and benefit scenarios.

We conclude that the proposed bridge clip-on has an assessment profile of MHL-MHM as follows:

- Strategic Fit M Part of the NZ Cycle Trail/Nga Haerenga. =
- Effectiveness H Economic benefits, affordable, timely, integrated solution. =
- Efficiency L-M L if 15,000 users/year, M if 36,000 users/year.

# 2. Project Understanding

The existing bridge is part of SH25 from SH2 to Kopu and has a span of approximately 270m across the Piako River. The carriageway across the bridge is 7m wide with no shoulders, footpath or dedicated cycle facility. SH25 is a regional arterial (ONRC classification) carrying 5,960 veh/day. The operating speed is around 95km/h.



Figure 1: Layout of existing bridge

There have been no reported cyclist crashes at the bridge in the 10 year period 2006 to 2015.

V Valida group	00	Piako bridge		
SUV. Car. Van. Ute & Taxi				
But-			11 11 21	* C x
Motorcycle, Moded			Fair and Incide 1	Crashes EH 25
Sicycle .				skentendet.
Truck			Drack Dam	2713700
Other or Unknown		ł	Darry	0
<ul> <li>Valide type</li> </ul>	00	$\cap$	- Pour Net	c .
Ger	0	$\bigcirc$	Dentify	. F
14V	0		Cash or Size High	NO Y
Taxi, Taxi Van	0		Gene	
Yan. Ute	0		Q ZAON SC	
But.	0			
School Bux	0			
Matercycle	0			
Model	0			
5-cycle	0			
Truck	0			
Other, Unknown	0			
2 Peterse	• 0			
Fatal And Serious Crashes	0			
Fatal and Serious Chables	00			
Fetal				
Serious				

Figure 2: Crash map showing bridge location

We understand that the cycle path will form part of the Hauraki Rail Trail route from Kaiaua to Kopu<sup>1</sup>. The Hauraki Rail Trail is part of Nga Haeranga, The New Zealand Cycle Trail<sup>2</sup> and currently attracts 21,000 visitors/year. The Hauraki Rail Trail Map is attached as Appendix A.

NZ Transport Agency and Hauraki District Council (HDC) entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) relating to roles and responsibilities in developing and maintenance of the rail trail. The MoU is attached as Appendix C.

The Piako Bridge clip-on has been designed, resource consents (APP134911.04.01 and APP134911.05.01) secured from Waikato Regional Council and certificate of compliance (COC-205.2015.00000342.001) from Hauraki DC.

# 3. Purpose of Assessment – Prioritisation of Improvement Investment (NLTF)

Prioritisation of activities within the NLTP uses a table of assessment profiles and assigns a priority based on:

- 1. Strategic Fit (relating to the problem or opportunity being addressed) and Effectiveness (how well an option delivers the desired results), and
- 2. Efficiency (based on the BCR Low (1-3), Medium (3-5), High (>5)).

The key influences are Strategic Fit and Effectiveness as illustrated in Figure 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Refer to the Hauraki Rail Trail website, <u>http://www.haurakirailtrail.co.nz/</u>; and the TCDC website outlining Stage 2-Kopu to Kaiaua of the Hauraki Rail Trail, <u>http://www.tcdc.govt.nz/hrt</u>
<sup>2</sup> www.nzcycletrail.com

			Numeric	enefit and cost	t appraisa)			
Strategic fit	Effectiveness	Strategic fit and Effectiveness	1 to 3	3 to 5	5+			
н	н	HH	Priority 3	Priority 2	Priority 1	Activities with these		
н	M	HM	Priority 4	Priority 3	Priority 2	profiles progress to		
м	н	MH	Priority 6	Priority 5	Priority 4	activity business		
M	M	MM	Priority 7	Priority 6	Priority 5	cases.		
н	1	HL	1					
М	L	ML	Low strate	gic fit does n	ot progress	A decision gate that		
L	Н	LH	Devond st	rategic busi	ness case.	integrates with the		
L	М	LM	Low effectiv	reness does i	not progress	ousiness case		
- 1	L.	Ш	Devond pro	granne bu:	siness case.	approach.		

### Figure 3: Priority order of assessment table

# 4. Evaluation against NZ Transport Agency Investment Assessment Framework 4.1. Strategic Fit - MEDIUM

The strategic fit using the assessment framework for walking and cycling has been identified as Medium as the route is part of the Kaiaua to Kopu Cycle Trail, a nationally recognised cycle route.

Criteria for strategic fit	Assessment
A walking and cycling activity may be given a <b>medium strategic fit</b> rating if the problem, issue or opportunity is:	
<ul> <li>part of a secondary corridor within a walking and/or cycling strategic network in a main urban area, for the purposes of utility cycling, including associated facilities to put the corridor into service; OR</li> </ul>	Not applicable – outside main urban area
<ul> <li>a link to complete or complement an existing walking and/or cycling strategic network in a main urban area; OR</li> </ul>	Not applicable – outside main urban area
<ul> <li>on a corridor, or site, with a medium walking and cycling crash risk; OR</li> </ul>	No crash history, however crash risk is likely to be <b>Medium-High</b> based on speed environment and high traffic volumes combined with lack of existing dedicated cycling facilities.
<ul> <li>a link from a main urban area to a substantial employment centre, outside of main urban areas, which may be considered on an exception basis where high demand is demonstrated; OR</li> </ul>	Not applicable – outside main urban area
a link to complete connections to the NZ Cycle Trails.	<b>Medium</b> – The Piako Bridge is on the proposed Kaiaua to Kopu route of the Hauraki Rail Trail, part of NZ Cycle Trails.
A walking and cycling activity must only be given a high strategic fit rating if the problem, issue or opportunity is:	
<ul> <li>part of a primary corridor within a walking and/or cycling strategic network in a main urban area, for the purposes of utility cycling, including associated facilities to put the corridor into service; OR</li> </ul>	Not applicable – outside main urban area
<ul> <li>on a corridor, or site, with a high walking and cycling crash risk.</li> </ul>	No crash history, however crash risk is likely to be <b>Medium-High</b> based on speed environment and high traffic volumes combined with lack of existing dedicated cycling facilities.

### Table 1: Assessment of Strategic Fit

# 4.2. Effectiveness - HIGH

The effectiveness of the project is assessed as High as set out in Table 2.

Component	Explanation	Rating
Outcomes focused	<ul> <li>tangible change in addressing the problem, issue or opportunity identified in the Strategic Fit assessment</li> <li>consistency with levels of service in an appropriate classification system</li> </ul>	<b>High</b> – providing dedicated facility for cyclists, that addresses the safety risk and is a vital link in the overall route.
Integrated	<ul> <li>consistency with the current network and future transport plans</li> <li>consistency with other current and future activities</li> <li>consistency with current and future land use planning</li> <li>accommodates different needs across modes</li> <li>support as an agreed activity across partners</li> </ul>	High – Trail is managed and developed by Hauraki Rail Trail Charitable Trust, which will ensure consistency in planning. While focussed on cycling, it will also support walking. NZTA and HDC have agreed the need for the project. Their roles and responsibilities are set out in the attached MoU.
Correctly scoped	<ul> <li>the degree of fit as part of an agreed strategy or business case</li> <li>has followed the intervention hierarchy to consider alternatives and options including low cost alternatives and options</li> <li>is of an appropriate scale in relation to the issue/opportunity</li> <li>covers and/or manages the spatial impact (upstream and downstream, network impacts)</li> <li>mitigates any adverse impacts on other results</li> </ul>	High – part of the NZ Great Trails, a nationally supported strategy for cycling.
Affordable	<ul> <li>is affordable through the lifecycle for all parties</li> <li>has understood and traded off the best whole of life cost approach</li> <li>has understood the benefits and costs between transport users and other parties and sought contributions as possible</li> <li>the opportunity to leverage Urban Cycleway Programme funding at a project and programme level has been taken, if applicable</li> </ul>	High – Funding has been secured for this section of the Hauraki Rail Trail (Kaiaua to Miranda is the only part of the trail where funding is not yet secured). http://www.tcdc.govt.nz/hrt Provides opportunity for local communities to leverage off the tourism opportunities. The MoU between NZTA and HDC is attached as Appendix C.
Timely	<ul> <li>delivers enduring benefits over the timeframe identified in the justified strategy or business case</li> <li>provides the benefits in a timely manner</li> <li>the programme/project will be delivered within the timing envelope of the Urban Cycleway Programme, if applicable</li> </ul>	<b>High</b> – construction began in April 2016 on the route, this application seeks funding for the bridge clip-on.
Confidence	<ul> <li>manages current and future risk for results/outcomes</li> <li>manages current and future risk for costs</li> </ul>	High – construction is under way and funding is secure for the section either side, the bridge is the critical link for continuity of the cycle path. The MoU sets out the roles and responsibilities for future costs and maintenance of the structure.
Overall	<ul> <li>Assessment based on lowest rating of all components</li> </ul>	High

Table 2: Assessment of effectiveness

## 4.3. Economic Evaluation

We used Simplified Procedure 11: Walking and Cycling Facilities in accordance with the NZ Transport Agency Economic Evaluation Manual (EEM, 1 January 2016). The completed worksheet is attached as Appendix B.

We have based our economic analysis on the following assumptions:

- = Existing cyclist volume is 0 cyclists/day as the trail has not yet been constructed;
- Estimated<sup>3</sup> post construction cyclist volume is from 15,000 to 36,000 users per year (equivalent to 41 to 98 cyclists/day); and
- Construction estimate of the bridge clip-on is \$1M. The Engineer's estimate does not include professional fees for construction supervision or contingency. The estimate is included as Appendix D.

Economic analysis shows the BCR as 1.3 based on facility benefits alone. Due to no crash history involving cyclists in the area there are no crash cost savings recognised. The BCR of 1.3 is based on the volume of 15,000 users per year that you indicated. Refer to Appendix B for the economic evaluation.

Similar facilities have greater visitor numbers, the existing Hauraki Rail Trail (Paeroa to Waikino section) attracts 10,000 visitors per month (58 users/day) in summer. If the Kaiaua to Kopu section attracts 30% of that number (36,000 visitors per year (98 users/day)) BCR would be 3.1.

### 4.4. Sensitivity

We tested the economic evaluation for sensitivity to costs and user numbers.

Factor	Expected	Low	High
Construction cost ± 20% from \$1M expected			
± 20% from \$1M expected	1.3	1.1	1.6
User numbers			
27/day, 10,000/year – low		0.8	
41/day, 15,000/year – base	1.3		
98/day, 36,000/year – high (30% of Paeroa-Waikino numbers)			3.1

### Table 3: Sensitivity testing

### 4.5. Assessment Profile

The assessment profile for the proposed Piako Bridge Clip-on Cycleway is:

=	Strategic fit	Medium	

- = Effectiveness High
- = Benefit and Cost Appraisal (BCR) 1.3 (Priority 6) to 3.1 (Priority 5)

The priority order of improvement profiles are derived from NZ Transport Agency Planning and Investment Knowledge Base (<u>https://www.pikb.co.nz/assessment-framework/prioritisation-of-activities-2/</u>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15,000 provided by HDC staff. Note – Te Awa Cambridge gets 114,400 users/year, Te Awa Ngaruawahia gets 57,200 users/year, and Hauraki Rail Trail gets 10,000 users/month (Paeroa-Waikino).

			Numeric b	enefit and cos	t appraisa)	BCR = 3.1 if 36,000
Strategic fit	ic fit Effectiveness Effect		1 to 3	3 to 5	5+	cyclists/year use the route.
н	н	HH	Priority 3	Priority 2	Printy 1	Activities with these
н	M	HM	Priority 4	Priority 3	Priority 2	profiles progress to
М	н	MH	Priority 6	Priority 5	Priority 4	activity business
M	М	MM	Priority 7	Priority 6	Priority 5	cases.
н	1	HL	Local Sectors		Sector Sector 1	
M	L	ML	Low strateg	lic fit does r	tot progress	BCR = 1.3 if 15.000
L	Н	LH	Deyond st	rategic busi	cyclists/year use the	
L	М	LM	Low effectiveness does not progress Cyclists/year			route
-1	1	IL	Devolut pro	grannie bu	Siness Lase.	Toule.

### Figure 4: BCR plotted against the priority order of assessment table

### 5. Conclusion

The Piako Bridge Clip-on project has an estimated construction cost of \$1M. The BCR depends on the number of users and ranges from 1.3 (15,000 cyclists/year) to 3.1 (36,000 cyclists/year). The assessment profile is MH, and is summarised below:

### Strategic fit: MEDIUM

The clip-on is an important connection as part of the Kaiaua to Kopu section of the Hauraki Rail Trail which forms part of the NZ Cycle Trail/Nga Haerenga. Providing dedicated cycle facilities at the existing bridge will increase safety for vulnerable road users and promote safe use of the route. Without the clip-on the route will be incomplete and will present a significant safety risk to users of the Hauraki Rail Trail.

The bridge clip-on will complete the Hauraki Rail Trail which is currently being constructed.

### Effectiveness: HIGH

Delivers increase in walking and cycling benefits, and is expected to attract economic benefits to the wider community from tourism. The 45km extension to the Hauraki Rail Trail is expected to increase opportunities for visitors to complete multi-day rides and associated overnight stays within the region contributing to the wider economy.

The project is affordable with funding secured for the sections of the Hauraki Rail Trail either side of the bridge.

Construction of the Kaiaua to Kopu section of the Hauraki Rail Trail began in April 2016. Construction of cycle facilities at the Piako Bridge would complete the connectivity of this route.

The project is supported by NZ Transport Agency, Hauraki DC, Thames-Coromandel DC and NZ Cycle Trails/Nga Haerenga, demonstrating that the project is integrated in planning of the project partners. NZ Transport Agency and Hauraki DC have entered into a MoU setting out their roles and responsibilities for implementation and operation of the Kaiaua to Kopu section of the Hauraki Rail Trail.

Efficiency: LOW (BCR 1-3 (Conservative BCR = 1.3 for 15,000 users/year)

If the number of users were 36,000, the BCR would be 3.1 and the profile MHM.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Yours sincerely





PAGE | 7

# APPENDIX A: HAURAKI RAIL TRAIL MAP







- HAURAKI RAIL TRAIL SERVICES
- Sherpa Bus
  Baggage & Bike Movements
  Trail Shuttle
  - Secure Car Parking • Bike Hire
  - FREE Accommodation Booking Service
     Guided Trips

### www.haurakirailtrail.co.nz

15% of all bookings through this website are retained by the HRT Charitable Trust to maintain the Rail Trail.

HRT Office: 07 868 5140 Sherpa Bus: 07 868 7824

Hauraki Rail Trail Ltd 407 Mackay Street, Thames 3500 email: info@hrtrail.co.nz

HRT COMMERCIAL OPPORTUNITIES email or online!

Easy cycle rides of New Zealand.

Department of Conservation Te Papa Atawbai

**THAMES** 206 Pollen Street. **TE AROHA** 102 Whitaker Street.

# Information Centres

PAEROA L&P Cafe, Cnr Taylors Avenue & Seymour Street. WAIHI Seddon Street.



# APPENDIX B: SP11 WORKSHEET: PIAKO RIVER BRIDGE CLIP-ON CYCLEWAY



Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16)

## SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

#### Worksheet 1 - Evaluation summary

Worksheet 1 provides a summary of the general data used for the evaluation as well as the results of the analysis. The information required is a subset of the information required for assessment in terms of the NZTA's *Planning and Investment Knowledge Base*.

1	Evaluator(s) Reviewer(s)								
2	Activity details								
-	Approved organisation name			Haura	ki Distri	ct Council			
	Activity name			Piako Rive	r Bridge	Cycle Clip-on	R.		
	Your reference								
	Activity description		Cycle	facilities on the ex	cisting b	ridge across t	he Piako	River	
	Describe the issues to be addressed	re	creational	cycle racinges to g	ouah de	velopment of	the Haur	rease m raki Rail Trail	
3	Location								
	Brief description of location			SH25 - Pia	ako Brid	ge (RP12/2.8)			
4	Alternatives and options								
	Describe the do-minimum	Do	nothing, a	ny cyclist must sha	re the la	ane across the	bridge.		
	Summarise the options assessed	Ret	rofit pedes	trian clip-on to exis	sting Pia	ako River Bridg	je		
5	Timing								
	Time zero (assumed construction start	t date)		1 July		20	16		
	Expected duration of construction (mo	nths)					2		
	Period of analysis					4	0		
6	Economic efficiency								
	Date economic evaluation completed (mm/yyyy)					Jun-16			
	Base date for costs and benefits		1 July			2015			
	Land designation required					no			
7	Data (only fill the applicable data)								
	Existing pedestrian/cycling volumes				0	AADT	in year	2016	
	Estimated new pedestrian/cyclist volu	me	(from	WS SP11-7)		41	1	AADT	
	Estimated motor vehicle volumes					5,960	1	AADT	
	Estimated motor vehicle speed					100.00	J	km/h	
	Pedestrian/cyclist growth rate					1.00		%	
	Width available for walking/cycling be	fore				0.00	,	m	
	Width available for walking/cycling aft	er				3.00	1	m	
	Length walked/cycled after works					0.27	1	km	
	Length walked/cycled before works					0.27	1	km	
	Expected reduction in private vehicle t	ravel				0.00		km per year	
8	PV cost of do-minimum						\$	0	A
9	PV cost of the preferred option						\$	940,000	в
10	Benefit values from worksheet 4, 5, 6								
	PV travel time cost savings	\$	0	C x Update factor	. ттс	1.44	= \$	0	x
	PV facility benefits	\$ 1	,037,615	D x Update factor	r <sup>WCB</sup>	1.16	= \$	1,203,633	Y
	PV crash cost savings	\$	0	E x Update factor	AC	1.00	= \$	0	z
	PV net benefits			X + Y + Z		1,203,633		12220	1
11	$BCR_N = - PV$ economic costs		-	B - A	= -	940.000	=	1.28	

Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16)

# SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

### Worksheet 3 - Cost of the option(s)

Worksheet 3 is used for calculating the PV cost of the walking or cycling facility.

1	PV of estimated cost of prop	oosed work (as per atta	ched	estimate sheet)					
			\$	1,000,000	x	0.94	= \$	940,000	(a)
2	PV of maintenance in year :	L					\$		(b)
3	PV of annual maintenance of	osts following the work	¢						
	(	years 2 to 40 inclusive)	)\$		x	14.52	= \$	0	(c)
4	PV of periodic maintenance	costs							
	Time zero				1st .	July in th	e year	2016	
	Periodic maintenance will b	e required in the follow	ing ye	ears:					
	Year Type	of maintenance		Amount \$		SPPWF		Present Value	
			Sum	of PV of periodic r	nainter	nance cos	ts = \$	0	(d)
5	PV cost of additional annual	maintenance							
			\$		x	14.52	= \$	0	(e)
6	PV of total cost of option								
		P	V tota	l costs (a) + (b) +	+ (c) +	(d) + (d	e) = \$	940,000	в
		Trans	sfer th	e PV total cost for	the pre	eferred op	otion <b>E</b>	<b>B</b> , to <b>B</b> on worksheet 1	1

# SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

### Worksheet 4 - Travel time cost savings

Worksheet 4 is used for calculating pedestrian and cyclist travel time cost savings.

1	Road category (Select)				Rural strategic	
2	Travel time data					
	Walkers and/or cyclists ave affected by the improvement	r volumes	0			
	Walking or Cycling growth r	ate (per annum)			1.00%	
	Travel time cost (TTC)	(Table 4.1b)		\$	23.85	
			Do-minimum		Option	
	Length of route (km)	L <sup>dm</sup>	0.27	L <sup>opt</sup>	0.27	
	Mean speed	VS <sup>dm</sup>	100.00	VS <sup>opt</sup>	100.00	
	Relative attractiveness	(Table SP11.1	)		2.00	
		-	AADT x 365 x L <sup>dm</sup> x T VS <sup>dm</sup>	rc = \$	0	(a)
4	Annual TTC for the option					
			AADT x 365 x L <sup>opt</sup> x T	гс		
			VS <sup>opt</sup> x RA	= \$	0	(b)
5	Value of annual TTC saving	5		(a) - (b) = \$	0	(c)
6	PV of travel time cost saving	gs	DF 16.51	(c) x DF = \$	0	с
	Transfer the PV of travel tin	ne cost savings for	the preferred option C,	to C on worksheet 1	1	

Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16)

SP11-4

# SP11 Walking and cycling facilities Worksheet 5 - Benefits for walking and cycling facilities

Worksheet 5 is used to calculate the walking and cycling facility benefits for the various options. Only one category for walking and one category for cycling may be used in an evaluation of a proposal. If an activity contains more categories, they must be submitted as separate evaluations.

Activities that combine walking and cycling may claim benefits for both modes but safety issues arising from pedestrian/cycle conflicts must be addressed, and if there are additional crash costs these must be accounted for in worksheet 6. Make sure the estimates of the new number of pedestrians and/or cyclists generated by the facility are realistic.

#### Required information:

- L Length of new facility in kilometres
- NPD Number of additional pedestrians per day
- NTD Number of additional cycle trips per day
- NSD Number of additional and existing cycle trips per day
- DF Discount factor. The discount factor may differ by mode depending on the growth rate

	Health	and enviror	nment benefits	for wall	ting facility								
	Pedestri	an growth ra	ate (per annum)					1.00%					
1	Health a	nd environm	nent benefits for	footpaths	and other pedestrian	facilities							
	Benefit =	= number of	additional pedes	strians/da	y x length of new facil	lity in km x 365 x \$	2.70						
	L	0.27	x NPD	0	x 365 x \$2.70 x DF	16.51	= \$	0	(a)				
2	Health a (provisio	nd environm	nent benefits fror dges, underpass	n improv es, bridge	ements at hazardous s widening or intersect	iites ion improvements i	for pedestri	ans)					
	Benefit = number of additional pedestrians/day x 365 x \$2.70												
			NPD	0	x 365 x \$2.70 x DF	16.51	= \$	0	(b)				
						Transfer total (a	) or (b) to	D on workshe	eet 1.				
	Health	and enviror	nment benefits	for cycl	ing facility								
	Cyclist g	rowth rate (	per annum)					1.00%					
3	Health a	nd environm	nent benefits for	cycle land	es, cycleways or increa	ased road shoulder	widths						
	Benefit = number of additional cycle trips/day x length of new facility in km x 365 x \$1.40												
	L	0.27	× NTD	0	x 365 x \$1.40 x DF	16.51	= \$	0	(c)				
4	Health a (provisio	nd environm	nent benefits from dges, underpass	n improv es, bridge	ements at hazardous s widening or intersect	ites ion improvements :	for cyclists)						
	Benefit =	= number of	additional cycle	trips/day	x 365 x \$4.20								
			NTD	41	x 365 x \$4.20 x DF	16.51	= \$	1,037,615	(d)				
						Transfer total (c	) or (d) to	D on workshe	eet 1.				
	Safety I	benefits for	cycling facility	1									
5	Safety b analysis	enefit for cy	cle lanes, cyclew	ays or in	creased road shoulder	widths in the abser	nce of a spe	cific crash					
	Benefit =	= number of	new and existin	g cycle tr	ips/day x length of ne	w facility in km x 30	65 x \$0.05						
	L	0.27	x NSD	0	x 365 x \$0.05 x DF	16.51	= \$	0	(e)				
6	Safety b overbrid	enefit from i ges, underpa	mprovements at asses, bridge wid	: hazardo dening or	us sites in the absence intersection improven	e of a specific crash nents for cyclists)	analysis (p	rovision of					
	Benefit :	= number of	new and existin	g cycle tr	ips/day x 365 x \$0.15								
			NSD	0	x 365 x \$0.15 x DF	16.51	= \$	0	(f)				
						Transfer total (	e) or (f) to	E on workshe	eet 1.				

#### Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16)

# SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

#### Worksheet 6 - Crash cost savings

These simplified procedures are suitable only for crash-by-crash analysis (method A in appendix A6). There must be 5 years or more crash data for the site and the number and types of crashes must meet the specifications set out in appendix A6.1 and A6.2. If not, either the crash rate analysis or weighted crash procedure described in appendix A6.2 should be used. The annual crash cost savings determined from such an evaluation are multiplied by the appropriate discount factor and entered in worksheet 1 as total E. Evidence to support alternative analysis must be attached.

	Movement category	All movements	Vehic	le involvement	Pus	h cycle	
1	Do-minimum mean speed	100	Road category Rural Traffic growth rate 2.		Rural	Rural strategic	
	Posted speed limit	100			.00%		
2	Option mean speed	100					
	and the second se		Severity				
	Do-minimum		Fatal	Serious	Minor	Non- injur	
3	Number of years of typical crash	rate records		1	0		
4	Number of reported crashes over	r period	0	0	0	0	
5	Fatal/serious severity ratio (table	es A6.19(a) to (c))	0.21	0.79	1	1	
6	Number of reported crashes adj	usted by severity (4) x (5)	0	0	0	0	
7	Crashes per year = $(6)/(3)$		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

0.000

	the second s	
-	A division and factor i	For small trand (table AC 1/a))

~	
9	Adjusted crashes per year = $(7) \times (8)$

	Aujusted crashes per year = (7) x (6)	0.000	0.000
10	Under-reporting factors (tables A6.20(a) to (b))	1	1.9
11	Total estimated crashes per year = (9) x (10)	0.000	0.000
12	Crash cost, 100km/h limit (tables A6.21(e) to (h))	3,100,000	330,000
13	Crash cost, 50km/h limit (tables A6.21(a) to (d))	3,100,000	325,000
14	Mean speed adjustment = ((1) - 50)/50		1
15	Cost per crash = (13) + (14) x [(12) - (13)]	3,100,000	330,000
16	Crash cost per year = (11) x (15)	+	-
17	Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (16) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury)		\$0

	Option				
18	Percentage crash reduction	5	5	5	5
19	Percentage of crashes 'remaining' [100 - (18)]	95	95	95	95
20	Predicted crashes per year (11) x (19)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	Crash cost, 100km/h limit (tables A6.21(e) to (h))	3,100,000	330,000	18,000	1,200
22	Crash cost, 50km/h limit (tables A6.21(a) to (d))	3,100,000	325,000	17,000	1,000
23	Mean speed adjustment = ((2) - 50)/50		1		
24	Cost per crash = (22) + (23) x [(21) - (22)]	3,100,000	330,000	18,000	1,200
25	Crash cost per year = (20) x (24)	+			+
26	Total cost of crashes per year (sum of columns in row (25) fatal + serious + minor + non-injury		\$0		
27	Annual crash cost savings = (17) - (26)		\$0		
28	PV crash cost savings = (27) x DF		\$0		
	Transfer PV of crash cost savings. E for the preferred option to E on	worksheet 1			

1.02

0.000

7.5

0.000

18,000

17,000

18,000

0.000

18.5

0.000

1,200

1,000

1,200

0 000

# SP11 Walking and cycling facilities

### Worksheet 7 - Cycle demand

This worksheet is used to calculate cycle demand for a new cycle facility. The new commuters section of the worksheet calculates the total new daily cyclist commuters. The new other section calculates the total daily new other cyclists. Finally the overall new cyclists is devised.

	New and Existing cyclists					
	Buffers (km)	<0.4	0.4 to <0.8	$0.8 \text{ to} \le 1.6$		
1	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )					
2	Density per square kilometre					
3	Population in each buffer $(3) = (1) \times (2)$	0.00	0.00	0.00		
4	Total population in all buffers (Sum of (3))	0.00				
5	Commute share (single value for all)	1.00%				
6	Likelihood of new cyclist multiplier	1.04	0.54	0.21		
7	Row (7) = (3) × (6)	0.00	0.00	0.00		
8	Sum of row (7)	0.00				
9	Cyclist rate (9) = ((5) x 0.96) + 0.32%	1.28%				
10	Total existing daily cyclists (10) = (4) × (9)	0.00				
11	Total new daily cyclists (11) = (8) × (9)		0.00			

Spreadsheet v 5 (1-Jan-16)

# APPENDIX C: MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN NZTA AND HDC



# MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

# between

# THE NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY and the HAURAKI DISTRICT COUNCIL

# PARTIES

**THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF THE NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY TRANSPORT** acting by and through the State Highway Manager Waikato / Bay of Plenty ("NZTA").

THE HAURAKI DISTRICT COUNCIL the local authority ("Council").

# BACKGROUND

- A. The Hauraki District Council is leading development of a cycling and walking trail (the Hauraki Rail Trail) along various corridors in the Hauraki, Matamata-Piako and Thames-Coromandel Districts, as part of the New Zealand Cycle Trails, Nga Haerenga project.
- B. 77 kilometres of trail has been completed. A 45 km section of the trail is to be constructed between Kaiaua and Kopu ("K2K).
- C. The proposed trail crosses 3 State Highway bridges. Two crossings are on existing walkways and the third requires the construction of a cycleway / walkway clip-on to the Piako River Bridge ("Clip-on").

# PURPOSE & JOINT OUTCOMES

The purpose of this MOU is to define roles, responsibilities and protocols for a positive future relationship between the parties; and to promote and develop that relationship in a way that will enable all Parties to meet their obligations and achieve their desired individual and joint outcomes.

The desired joint outcomes of this MOU are that a walking/cycling trail mostly along publicly owned land which connects Kaiaua, Thames, Te Aroha, Matamata, Paeroa and Waihi is developed and managed:

- to Standard NZ and National Cycleways specifications
- with the support of affected parties and the wider community
- in a manner consistent with the purpose for which the land is reserved
- and serviced by adequate infrastructure (roading, carparks, toilets) for the social and economic benefit of the Hauraki, Thames and Matamata-Piako Districts and resident communities
- with a clearly defined ongoing maintenance and operational strategy with allocated responsibility

# 1.0 <u>RELATIONSHIP</u>

- 1.1 The Parties wish to conduct their relationship ("the relationship") on the basis of good faith and respect for each other's views, mandates and responsibilities (statutory or otherwise).
- 1.2 Each Party may refer to the relationship in their dealing with others as 'working with the other'.
- 1.3 The Parties acknowledge that this Memorandum does not create binding legal rights or obligations, but rather is intended to formally record the mutual intentions and understanding of the parties in good faith in order to promote public benefit and enjoyment from the Land.

# 2.0 MUTUAL TERMS

- 2.1 The parties agree to meet at least twice a year (April and August) to discuss issues of mutual interest, including business and work planning and new research and knowledge. This may also be by telephone conference or in a series of e-mail messages.
- 2.2 This Memorandum between the parties shall be in effect for a term of 20 years, expiring on 30 June 2036 unless it is earlier terminated due to the adoption of statutory management mechanisms or in accordance with clause 6 below.
- 2.3 Upon the expiry of this arrangement, the Parties will review the arrangement and decide whether or not they wish to continue on the same or other terms.
- 2.4 All Parties will provide each other with timely and accurate reports on progress, activities, issues and outcomes relating to this Memorandum.

# 3.0 ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES

# 3.1 ROLE OF COUNCIL

- 3.1.1 The Council will manage the design and construction of the Clip-on on behalf of NZTA. This includes procurement, obtaining resource and building consents and construction management.
- 3.1.2 The Council will take responsibility and provide for the ongoing management and maintenance of the K2K route developed by the Hauraki Rail Trail project.

The Council will be responsible for routine maintenance. Routine maintenance is defined as required to keep the elements of the bridge functioning as originally

designed to meet safety requirements and agreed level of service requirements. This includes; tagging removal, litter and debris removal, maintenance of nonslip surfaces and wire mesh side panels infills. Structural maintenance requirements shall be reported to NZTA's Network Manager.

3.1.3 The Council may delegate all of its responsibilities relating to this agreement to the Hauraki Rail Trail Charitable Trust ("HRTCT"). To this end, any reference to "the Council" may be replaced with "the Hauraki Rail Trail Charitable Trust". This delegation will require the approval of NZTA.

# 3.2 ROLE OF NZTA

- 3.2.1 NZTA will fund the construction of and own the Clip-on.
- 3.2.2 NZTA will undertake any structural maintenance of the Clip-on and will be responsible for the inspection and maintenance of structural elements of the bridge. Structural elements are defined as elements that need specific design by a Structural Engineer to meet regulations, including support structure, deck and structural elements of the handrails. NZTA will report routine maintenance requirements to the Hauraki District Council following inspections. Inspections will be carried out in accordance with the Bridge Inspection Policy (S6).
- 3.2.3 By 31 May each year, NZTA will provide the Council with its proposed annual work programme (if any) for the 3 bridge structures that will have an effect on the operation of the HRT.
- 3.2.4 NZTA will consult with the Council prior to undertaking any works that will have an effect on this Memorandum of Understanding.

# 4.0 <u>COMMUNICATION</u>

- 4.1 If matters arise that may be of interest to any party, a contact person designated by each party is to be informed. That person should develop an effective working relationship with the other Party.
- 4.2 If the contact person changes in any organisation, there should be a handover process so that the new person can quickly settle into the role.
- 4.3 The parties will endeavour as much as possible to ensure that any publicity regarding the work programme will acknowledge the contributions of all Parties. All pamphlets, signs and any printed material containing the logo of any Party will be submitted to that party for approval before public release.
- 4.4 Should any Party wish to make any public statements about the other Parties in relation to the Land which is the subject of this Memorandum, then the parties will first discuss such a statement with the other for the mutual benefit of the parties.

# 5.0 DISPUTES

Any disputes and differences between the parties relating to the interpretation of or performance in relation to this Memorandum will be resolved in the first instance by direct meeting and negotiation in good faith between the State Highway Manager of the NZTA and the Chief Executive of the Council.

## 6.0 TERMINATION

Should any party find itself unable to fulfill its intentions under this memorandum, then any party may terminate this arrangement by providing one month's notice in writing to the other party.

Signed on behalf of the Chief Executive NZTA

8/3/16

Witnessed by:

Date: 8/3/16

Signed on behalf of the Hauraki District Council by

Witnessed by:

\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date:

Date:

# APPENDIX D: ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE



# Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Piako River Bridge Clip-on Schedule of Quantities / Estimate

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Rate	Amount
1	Proliminary and Conoral				
11		10	1	75000	75000.00
1.1		LS	1	75000	75000.00
1.2	Insurances / lees	LS	1	4000	4000.00
1.3	Contract Quality Plan includes has plan	LO	1	1500	1500.00
1.4	Quality Control and Testing		1	5000	5000.00
1.5	Reporting		1	2500	2500.00
1.0	As-Builts	1.5	1	4000	4000.00
1.7		1.5	1	5000	5000.00
1.0		Sub-	I Total Prelimina	rv & General	\$ 98 500.00
		Oub-		ly & General	φ 30,000.00
2	Traffic Management				
2.1	Preparation and Management of TMP	LS	1	500	500.00
2.2	Shoulder Closure	dav	60	300	18000.00
2.3	Lane Closure	dav	25	1500	37500.00
		Sul	-Total Traffic N	/anagement	\$ 56.000.00
		Cu.		lanagomon	¢ 00,000.00
3	Access / Protection of Services				
3.1	Provide access to piers as required	LS	1	75000	75000.00
3.2	Protection of services	LS	1	500	500.00
0	j. receiver el certitore	ub-Total	Access / servio	e Protection	\$ 75.500.00
					<i> </i>
4	Site Clearance				
4.1					
	Clear sufficient vegetation to allow construction / remove fencing as				
	required / local excavation at piers as required to place brackets	LS	1	1500	1500.00
			Sub-Total Sit	e Clearance	\$ 1,500.00
5	Abutments - Concrete Work				
5.1	Demolish concrete wedge and section of abutment beam	LS	1	3000	3000.00
5.2	Excavate for, supply and Place 300 thick GAP40 pad	m3	1.5	150	1125.00
5.3	Supply and place 30Mpa reinforced concrete beam and backwall	m3	1.5	1500	2250.00
5.4	evisting concrete work	62	8	200	1600.00
55	Supply and erect timber retaining wall adjacent to abutment	m2	5	500	2500.00
5.6	Supply, place and compact backfill behind abutment to 2m beyond		Ŭ	000	2000.00
	backwall upto track final level	m3	8	250	2000.00
5.7	Remove, store and reposition name and BSN signage	ea	2	100	200.00
5.8	Allowance for encapulation of service at abutments	PS	1	1000	1000.00
	Sul	b-Total /	Abutments - Co	ncrete Work	\$ 13,675.00
6	Piers - Support Steelwork	1	1		
6.1	Piers B, b, C and c		0.4	50	1000.00
6.1.1	Drill, supply and place horizontal M24 upper anchors	ea	24	50	1200.00
6.1.2	Eabricate and install individual support brackets	ea	32	2000	24000.00
614	Extra over 5.1.3 cost for additional length on widened bracket	60	2	inc	24000.00
6.1.5	Fabricate and install top bracing frame	ea	4	inc	
6.2	Piers D and d				
6.2.1	Core, supply and place horizontal M30 upper anchors	ea	4	600	2400.00
6.2.2	Drill, supply and place horizontal M24 lower anchors	ea	8	50	400.00
6.2.3	Fabricate and install support bracket	ea	2	3000	6000.00
6.3	Piers E, e, F, f, G, g, H, h, I and i				
6.3.1	Drill, supply and place horizontal M24 upper anchors	ea	60	50	3000.00
6.3.2	Drill, supply and place horizontal M24 lower anchors	ea	60	50	3000.00

# Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Piako River Bridge Clip-on Schedule of Quantities / Estimate

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Rate	Amount
	Fabricate and install individual support brackets including lower				
6.3.3	fixing bracket	ea	20	3000	60000.00
6.3.4	Extra over 5.3.3 cost for additional length on widened bracket	ea	4	inc	
6.3.5	Fabricate and install top bracing frame	ea	10	inc	
		Sub-Tota	al Piers - Suppo	ort Steelwork	\$ 101,600.00
	Overlagen Deel, Oterhead (Timber				
	Cycleway Deck - Steelwork / Timber	1			
7.1	Supply, Irabicate and Install 3600B57 deck Section (13.716 x 1.5)		10	10000	450000.00
7.0	Supply frabricate and install 260 IP57 deak section (12,716 x 2,0)	ea	12	13000	100000.00
1.2	Supply, habitcate and install Sources rection (13.710 x 2.0)		4	14000	56000 00
7.2	Supply frabricate and install 520 IB82 dock section (10,812 x 1.5)	ea	4	14000	56000.00
7.3	Supply, hadricate and install 5500Boz deck section (19.612 x 1.5)		1	15000	15000.00
74	Supply and install 150x50 H2 2 P/S spiking timbers including DPC	ea	1	15000	15000.00
7.4	and fiving bolts	Im	525	45	24075 00
7.5	Supply and install 200X75 H2 2 P/S timber dock planks	Im	1040	40	24075.00
7.5	Supply and fix Tensar SS20 Geogrid to deck planks	m2	300	15	5850.00
7.0	Supply and fix Tensal 3320 Geogra to deck planks	IIIZ	Sub Tatal Cu	IJ Novey Deak	\$ 252 025 00
			Sub-Total Cy	cieway Deck	\$ 333,923.00
8	Handrails - Timber / Mesh				
8.1	Outer Handrail				
	Supply, trim to suit and install 200x100 H3.2 dressed timber				
8.1.1	stanchions including blockout packers	ea	191	215	41065.00
0	Supply and install 150SED H5 post on approach for top rail				
8.1.2	extension	ea	2	250	500.00
8.1.3	Supply and install 200X100 H3.2 dressed timber top rail	Im	245	90	22050.00
8.1.4	Supply and install 150x50 H3.2 dressed timber mid and toe rail	Im	705	35	24675.00
	Supply and install 37.5mm diamond PVC coated Chainlink mesh to				
8.1.5	handrail	Im	242	35	8470.00
8.1.6	Modified fixing arrangement for end posts on passing bay	ea	8	500	4000.00
8.2	Inner Handrail				
	Supply, trim to suit and install 150x75 H3.2 dressed timber				
8.2.1	stanchions to existing concrete barrier posts	ea	214	120	25680.00
8.2.2	Supply and install 150X100 H3.2 dressed timber top rail	Im	240	85	20400.00
8.2.3	Supply and install 150x50 H3.2 dressed timber mid and toe rail	Im	580	35	20300.00
	Supply and install 37.5mm diamond PVC coated Chainlink mesh to				
8.2.4	handrail	Im	240	30	7200.00
			Sub-To	tal Handrails	\$ 174,340.00
9	Corrosion Protection		· ·		
9.1	Corrosion Protection to all steel work - HDG or metal thermal spray	LS	1	80000	80000.00
		Sub	-Total Corrosic	n Protection	\$ 80,000.00
10	Approach Paths				
10.1	Remove vegetation and excavate topsoil and other unsuitable				
10.1	material dispose off site	LS	1	1500	1500.00
10.2	Undercut to form bench for widening material	m3	120	15	1800.00
10.3	Supply, place and compact brown rock subgrade	m3	300	40	12000.00
10.4	Supply and place 75mm of blinding sand beneath slab	m3	30	40	1200.00
10.5	Supply, place and cure 125mm thick polyproplyene reinforced				
	20MPa Concrete path	m3	38	450	17100.00
10.6	Retaining wall over culvert - as directed by Engineer	PS	1	5000	5000.00
					\$ 38,600.00

# Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Piako River Bridge Clip-on Schedule of Quantities / Estimate

Item	Description	Unit	Quantity	Rate	Amount
	Summary				
1	Preliminary and General				98500.00
2	Traffic Management				56000.00
3	Access / Protection of Services				75500.00
4	Site Clearance				1500.00
5	Abutments - Concrete Work				13675.00
6	Piers - Support Steelwork				101600.00
7	Cycleway Deck - Steelwork / Timber				353925.00
8	Handrails - Timber / Mesh				174340.00
9	Corrosion Protection				80000.00
10	Approach Paths				38600.00

Total - Engineers Estimate : \$993,640.00

# APPENDIX E: TENDER DRAWINGS

(Opus Ref 2-9Z270.02, Sheet 1-6, 10-12, October 2014)





Original Sheet Size A1 [841x594] Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 207 p.m. Path G:29000092270\_02\_Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Plako BridgelAcadt7-Construction/Currentl2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12.dwg 1R1



Original Sheet Size A1 [841x594] Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 207 p.m. Path G:29000092270\_02\_Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Plako Bridge/Acadt7-Construction/Currentl2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12.dwg 2R1



Original Sheet Size A1 [841x594] Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 2:07 p.m. Path G1290000/92270\_02\_Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Plako BridgelAcadi7-Construction/Current/2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12.dwg 3R1

100101





Original Sheet Size A1 [841x594] Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 2:07 p.m. Path G:29000092270\_02\_Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Piako BridgelAcadt7-Construction/Current/2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12.dwg 5R1



Original Sheet Size A1 [841x594] Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 2:07 p.m. Path G:290000/92270\_02\_Hauraki Cycle Trail K2K Piako BridgeVacadi7-Construction/Current/2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12.dwg 6R1

GENERAL:	TIMBER	STRUCTURAL STEELWORK:
1. READ STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS WITH ALL OTHER CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. NOTIFY ANY DISCREPANCY AND OBTAIN INSTRUCTION BEFORE PROCEEDING.	1. ALL TIMBER TO BE VSG8 GRADE RADIATA PINE COMPLYING WITH NZS 3602 AND GRADED TO NZS 3631.	1. ALL MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL BE IN NZS 3404 SUBJECT TO RELEVANT SECTIONS OF T
<ol> <li>CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM LOCATION AND LEVEL OF UNDERGROUND SERVICES PRIOR TO FOUNDATION PILING/EXCAVATION WORKS. NOTIFY ANY CONFLICTS WITH THE DESIGNED WORKS AND OBTAIN INSTRUCTION BEFORE PROCEEDING.</li> <li>CHECK AND VERIFY EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND LEVELS ON-SITE BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION OR OFF-SITE FABRICATION.</li> <li>DEMOLISH EXISTING WORK AS INDICATED BUT OTHERWISE TO THE MINIMUM EXTENT NECESSARY TO CONSTRUCT NEW WORK. DEMOLITION OF EXISTING CONCRETE TO BE DELINEATED BY STRAIGHT AND REGULAR SAWCUT LINES. MAKE GOOD ON COMPLETION OF NEW WORK, APPLY 2 COATS OF EPOXY COATING TO EXPOSED REINFORCING (BRUSH APPLIED) PROVIDE TEMPORARY PROPPING/BRACING OF EXISTING AS NECESSARY.</li> <li>REFER TO STRUCTURAL STANDARD DETAIL DRAWINGS FOR COMMON CONSTRUCTION DETAILS, REQUIREMENTS, NOTES, INTERPRETATIVE INFORMATION ETC.</li> <li>ALL EXISTING WORK TO BE MADE GOOD ON COMPLETION OF STRUCTURAL WORK.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>TIMBER TREATMENT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NZ TIMBER PRESERVATION COUNCIL INCORPORATING - MP 3640.</li> <li>UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE GENERAL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS SHALL COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS OF NZS 3604.</li> <li>PROVIDE WASHERS FOR BOLTED CONNECTIONS IN LOCATIONS AND TO SIZES REQUIRED BY NZS 3603. RE-TIGHTEN NUTS PRIOR TO ENCLOSURE OF JOINTS.</li> <li>TIMBER-TO-TIMBER AND TIMBER-TO-STEEL/CONCRETE/CONCRETE BLOCK CONNECTIONS, INDICATED ON STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS, HAVE BEEN SUBJECT TO SPECIFIC STRUCTURAL DESIGN. CONNECTIONS OTHERWISE SHALL CONFORM TO NZS 3604 REQUIREMENTS.</li> <li>ALL TIMBER ABOVE GROUND TO BE VSG8 H3.2 ROUGH SAWN UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE. HANDRAIL TO BE DRESSED AND CHAMFERED.</li> <li>ALL TIMBER IN CONTACT WITH THE GROUND TO BE H5 TREATED.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>READ STRUCTURAL STEELWORK DRAWINGS IN CON. OTHER CONTRACT DRAWINGS, ALLOW FOR ALL HU FIXINGS, ETC. AND INDICATE ON SHOP FABRICATIO</li> <li>STRUCTURAL STEELWORK DRAWINGS SHOW THE ST INTENT. SHOP FABRICATION DRAWINGS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY.</li> <li>ALL BOLTS TO BE HIGH STRENGTH STEEL CLASS SPECIFICATION UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL STEELWORK, EXCEPT FOUNDATION PILES, SHA PROTECTIVE TREATED AFTER FABRICATION. REFER</li> <li>ALL BOLTS, NUTS AND WASHERS, INCLUDING HOLI SHALL BE HOT DIP GALVANISED UNLESS SPECIFIC OTHERWISE.</li> <li>ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL WELDING SHALL COMPLY CLASS S.P. ALL WELDS TO BE 6mm MINIMUM C WELDS, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.</li> </ol>

- ALL SQUARE AND RECTANGULAR HOLLOW SECTIONS SHALL BE GRADE 350 UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. CIRCULAR HOLLOW SECTIONS 114. O.D. AND LESS SHALL BE GRADE 250 UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. CIRCULAR HOLLOW SECTIONS BETWEEN 139.7 O.D. AND 457.0 O.D. INCLUSIVE SHALL BE GRADE 350 UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. REFER TO SPECIFICATION.
- 10. HOT ROLLED OPEN SECTIONS, FLATS, PLATES AND FABRICATED SECTIONS SHALL BE GRADE 300 UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. REFER TO SPECIFICATION.
- 11. REFER TO STANDARD STEELWORK DRAWING SHEET FOR BASE PLATE/HOLDING DOWN BOLTING DETAILS.

#### SURFACE PROTECTION OF STEELWORK:

ALL STRUCTURAL STEELWORK TO BE COATED WITH IZS3-INORGANIC ZINC SILICATE 150 MICRONS MINIMUM THICKNESS TO AS/NZS3750.15 (PAINT SHALL BE TAKEN ON SITE TO REPAIR ANY DAMAGE TO COATING OCCURING DURING CONSTRUCTION) TABLE 14 SNZ HB8630.

Feliam	Ameridand	Approved	Revision Dinte	and a second sec	
B1	TENDER ISSUE	10	23/10/2014	OPUS Hamilton Office 64 7 838 9344	S Private Bag Walkato Mai Harriiha 20
				Desire Designed Approved	1 4410-441
-				Territor	24
				2-97270.02	AS SHOWN

8-

8-

Original Sheet Size A1 (841x594) Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 2:07 p.m. Path G/29000092270\_02\_Hawaki Dycle Trail K2K Plako Bridgel Acadit7-Construction/Current/2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12.dwg 10R1

ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATION.

JUNCTION WITH ALL IOLES, CLEATS, ON DRAWINGS.

TRUCTURAL DESIGN CONTRACTORS

8.8/S TO

ALL BE SURFACE R TO SPECIFICATION.

lding-down Bolts, Cally Noted

WITH AS/NZS 1554 Continuous fillet

WELDED ALL

#### HAURAKI DISTRICT COUNCIL HAURAKI RAIL TRAIL K2K THAMES TO KAIAUA SECTION PIAKO BRIDGE CLIP-ON CYCLEWAY 3057 il Centre 40 STANDARD GENERAL NOTES Revision D 23/10/2014 Sed No Netton 2-97270.02 10 R1

FOR TENDER



Original Sheet Size A1 [841x594] Plot Date 23 Oct 2014 @ 2:07 p.m. Path G:290000/92270\_02\_Hawraki Cycle Trail K2K Piako Bridge/Acadi7-Construction/Current/2-92270.02\_SHEETS 1-6,10-12 dwg 11R1

	(13) ABBREVIATIONS
NRACING NAILING	BN     BRACKET NAIL     IP     INTERSECTION POINT       CB     COACH BOLT     JH     JOLT HEAD NAIL       c/c     CENTRE TO CENTRE     projn.     PROJECTION       CS     COACH SCREW     rad.     RADIUS       csk. hd.     COUNTERSUNK HEAD     RAS     REFER TO ARCH. SHTS       dia.     DIAMETER (NZ 3603) OF     SK     SKEW NAILED       Da     DIAMETER (NZ 3603) OF     SK     SKEW NAILED       DPA     DAME PROOF COURSE     SR     SPIRAL ROLLED NAIL       DPM     DAMP PROOF MEMBRANE ST     STAPLE       extg.     EXISTING     tr.     THEATED       FH     FLAT HEAD NAIL     UOS     UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN       HD bott     HOLDING DOWN BOLT     DIRECTION OF GRAIN
LING	
MBER	<ol> <li>GENERAL NOTES (STANDARD)</li> <li>DRAWING INTERPRETATIONS TO COMPLY WITH NZS 5902 EXCEPT WHERE MODIFIED HEREIN.</li> <li>DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH OTHER DISCIPLINES (eg. ARCHITECTURAL, BUILDING SERVICES, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL ETC.) TOGETHER WITH THE SPECIFICATION.</li> <li>WHERE PROPRIETARY ITEMS ARE SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS, OTHER ALTERNATIVES APPROVED AS EQUAL, MAY BE USED.</li> <li>WHERE ALTERNATIVES ARE SHOWN ON STANDARDS AND ARE NOT CALLED UP, THE CONTRACTOR MUST OBTAIN APPROVAL TO USE ONE OF THESE.</li> <li>THE ARCHITECT MUST BE NOTIFIED OF ANY DISCREPANCY BETWEEN STRUCTURAL AND OTHER CONTRACT DOCUMENTS BEFORE AFFECTED WORK COMMENCES</li> </ol>
ulad	
/E E L/800 R IZS:3631)	
	FOR TENDER Pagesi HAURAKI DISTRICT COUNCII
e Bag 3057 to Mail Centre	HAURAKI RAIL TRAIL K2K THAMES TO KAIAUA SECTION PIAKO BRIDGE CLIP-ON CYCLEWAY
ton 3240 Revision Date 23/10/2014	STANDARD TIMBER NOTES
	Dimaing No.         Sheet. No.         Remission           2-9ZZ70.02         11         R1

