



18 May 2022

Chuck Schooner  
[fyi-request-19169-49368b70@requests.fyi.org.nz](mailto:fyi-request-19169-49368b70@requests.fyi.org.nz)

Tēnā koe Chuck

Thank you for your email of 19 April 2022 to the Ministry of Education requesting the following information:

- 1. How does the Ministry of Justice / Ministry of Education define "Grooming" - please provide any and all documents, resources detailing the differentiation between Grooming and the teaching of some of the topics relating to RSE namely pornography, masturbation, sexual identity and gender identify.*
- 2. Subject to the definition of grooming what is the complaints process required to the public upon knowing that their children are being taught this disgusting curriculum*
- 3. What is the Ministry of Educations policy on identifying teachers that are actively grooming students versus teachers that aren't grooming children - how is this differentiated between by the Ministry of Education*
- 4. The RSE material states that pornography should be taught to pre-pubescent students - please provide any and all legal documentation confirming that this isn't a crime teaching students about this subject at the ages of 9-11 - for example students are restricted from watching this material yet the Ministry of Education is actively promoting this - if this is in schools then why is the Ministry of Education excluded from similar standards in the broadcasting industry where R18 material would fit this criteria*
- 5. If you make throwaway comment in a workplace then this can be misconstrued as sexual harassment - given the students aren't as developed as adults above the age of 18 then how can children reasonably be expected to make a complaint if they are unknowingly being sexually exploited*
- 6. Given the students have access to devices on public school premises - what are the punishments for students if they are to broadcast, promote or send any videos to other students etc*
- 7. If students aren't able to share illicit material then how does the Ministry of Education justify educators etc being able to share, teach this material*

8. *Please provide all the NGO's that have been consulted on this curriculum - can you also provide individual costs paid to date, any companies being retained to provide advice - ultimately list all external consultants and fees to date and ongoing in promoting this filth*
9. *Please provide and all measurable outcomes both positive and negative and how is MOE measuring these - for example if in 12 months sexual assaults, activity in schools has gone up then who can be held accountable*
10. *Please provide the "vetting" process for external consultants - ie what background checks are completed to determine if external consultants are predators*
11. *Please provide any and all "Legal Documentation" that was completed by the MOE with regard to promoting this filth to our most innocent - if no legal documentation was completed then why not?*

Your request has been considered under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act).

The Ministry needs to extend the timeframe for responding to your request, pursuant to section 15A(1)(b) of the Act, as consultations necessary to make a decision on the request are such that a proper response cannot reasonably be made within the original time limit. You can now expect to receive a response to your request on or before **9 June 2022**, which is an extension of 15 working days.

You have the right to ask an Ombudsman to investigate our decision to extend the timeframe for responding to your request, in accordance with section 28 of the Act. You can do this by writing to [info@ombudsman.parliament.nz](mailto:info@ombudsman.parliament.nz) or to Office of the Ombudsman, PO Box 10152, Wellington 6143.

Nāku noa, nā



Emma Drysdale  
**Manager Official and Parliamentary Information  
Government, Executive and Ministerial Services**