

27 February 2024

M Bell

fyi-request-25210-f80d3ccd@requests.fyi.org.nz

Tēnā koe

Your request for official information, reference: HNZ00036199

Thank you for your email on 16 January 2024, extended 14 February 2024, asking Health New Zealand | Te Whatu Ora for the following under the Official Information Act 1982 (the Act):

"... correspondence from Mr Steve Kirsch received by Te Whatu Ora from 1 September 2023"

Response

The requested emails are enclosed as **Annex One**.

Some information has been withheld under section 9(2)(a) of the Act in order to protect the privacy of individuals. The need to protect their privacy is not outweighed by the public interest in the release of this information.

Some names and email addresses have also been withheld under section 6(d) of the Act, on the basis that making available the information would be likely to endanger the safety of any person.

In addition, Health NZ has withheld correspondence that Mr Kirsch sent to the Employment Relations Authority under s 18(c)(i) of the Act, on the basis that making the information available would be contrary to the provisions of a specified enactment – section 222 of the Employment Relations Act – as it relates to proceedings before the Employment Relations Authority.

Please also find some further information about the related ongoing Court proceedings below:

Orders of Employment Relations Authority

When Health NZ became aware that an employee had unlawfully accessed and shared vaccine related data, we applied for urgent injunctive relief in the Employment Relations Authority.

On 1 December 2023 the Employment Relations Authority granted Orders (the Orders) preventing further sharing of the data and non-publication orders relating to elements of the matter. The Orders restrict all access, use and publication of information held by Health NZ on the National Vaccination Database, or any copies, extracts or information derived from it.

The Orders remain in force and apply to anyone who has obtained, or may obtain, access to or been provided with information from the Database. The Orders prohibit:

- a) disclosing to any third party or publicly releasing any information held by Health NZ on the National Vaccination Database (Database) or any copies, extracts or information derived from it (Information).

- b) accessing or performing any set of operations on the Information or any copies, extracts or other sets of information derived from the Information, including using, accessing, searching, reviewing, copying, sharing, publishing, making available to any member of the public, transferring or disclosing the Information.

The Orders require anyone who has obtained access to or been provided with information from the Database to delete any and all copies of the Database, any versions of the Information and any other unlawfully obtained information in their possession or control.

How to get in touch

If you have any questions, you can contact us at xxxxxx@xxxxxx.xxxx.xx.

If you are not happy with this response, you have the right to make a complaint to the Ombudsman. Information about how to do this is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or by phoning 0800 802 602.

Nāku iti noa, nā



Sasha Wood

**Head of Government Services
Office of the Chief Executive**

Out of scope

Subject: FW: S 9(2)(a) data breach: I am the journalist who published the data

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)

Sent: Thursday, 7 December 2023 7:47 pm

To: Abbe Anderson <abbe.anderson@health.govt.nz>; Andrew Slater <Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>; Dale Bramley <dale.bramley@health.govt.nz>; Fionnagh Dougan <Fionnagh.Dougan@health.govt.nz>; Jeremy Holman <Jeremy.Holman@health.govt.nz>; Leigh Donoghue <Leigh.Donoghue@health.govt.nz>; Margie Apa <margie.apa@health.govt.nz>; Markerita Poutasi <Markerita.Poutasi@health.govt.nz>; Nick Chamberlain <nick.chamberlain@health.govt.nz>; Peter Alsop <Peter.Alsop@health.govt.nz>; Ramon Manzano <Ramon.Manzano@health.govt.nz>; Richard Sullivan <richard.sullivan@health.govt.nz>; Rosalie Percival <Rosalie.Percival@health.govt.nz>

Cc: S 9(2)(a)

Subject: S 9(2)(a) data breach: I am the journalist who published the data

This is my derivative works and you have no right to the data. You had no right to have it taken down. You should have known that.

If you want me to take down the data, all you have to do is ask nicely and provide all the analysis documents on your data and I'll consider it.

Coercion will not work and was not appreciated.

You should all know that what you are doing to S 9(2)(a) is reprehensible.

S sought out expert opinions from myself, S 9(2)(a), among others BEFORE he leaked the data. S is one of the top epidemiologists in the world. The decision to leak the data was S 9(2) alone. We didn't urge him to do so. We just told him what the data said.

Your assertion that S knows nothing about statistics is irrelevant. S sought confirmation before he leaked the data. He did the responsible thing and should be COMMENDED, not vilified.

When he notified of the problem, nobody talked to him. He was terminated. Nobody wanted to understand the research he did.

It is IMPOSSIBLE to reverse obfuscate the data. It used a one-way algorithm that is not reversible.

No PII or PHI was exposed.

You have NO RIGHT TO REQUEST IT BE TAKEN DOWN.

I am a journalist in the US and I have the right to publish my derivative works on the leaked data.

I can tell you more if you want. How about we have a recorded conversation about it???

Hey, I just met you, and this is crazy, but here's my number, so call me, maybe? S 9(2)(a).

-steve

Out of scope

Subject: FW: Kindly read this article showing the COVID vaccine is killing people
Importance: High

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)
Sent: Tuesday, 12 December 2023 11:03 am
To: Media MOH (MoH) <media@health.govt.nz>; Abbe Anderson <abbe.anderson@health.govt.nz>; Andrew Slater <Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>; Dale Bramley <dale.bramley@health.govt.nz>; Fionnagh Dougan <Fionnagh.Dougan@health.govt.nz>; Jeremy Holman <Jeremy.Holman@health.govt.nz>; Leigh Donoghue <Leigh.Donoghue@health.govt.nz>; Margie Apa <margie.apa@health.govt.nz>; Markerita Poutasi <Markerita.Poutasi@health.govt.nz>; Nick Chamberlain <nick.chamberlain@health.govt.nz>; Peter Alsop <Peter.Alsop@health.govt.nz>; Ramon Manzano <Ramon.Manzano@health.govt.nz>; Richard Sullivan <richard.sullivan@health.govt.nz>; Rosalie Percival <Rosalie.Percival@health.govt.nz>
Cc: michael.walsh@health.govt.nz
Subject: Kindly read this article showing the COVID vaccine is killing people
Importance: High

I just wrote this article:

<https://kirschsubstack.com/p/medicare-death-data-proves-the-covid>

You can verify this in your own data. I know. I just did it.

I'd like hear the response from one of your epidemiologists such as S 9(2)(a).

Have him explain to you (and me) how these charts are consistent with a safe vaccine.

The data is out there. You can verify this yourself.

You cannot use the excuse: "I didn't look."

If you cannot explain it, you must stop the shots or you are liable for criminal negligence.

-steve

Out of scope

Subject: FW: Why can't we have a chat with your epidemiologists?

Importance: High

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)

Sent: Saturday, 16 December 2023 12:37 pm

To: Margie Apa <margie.apa@health.govt.nz>

Cc: S 9(2)(a)

; Abbe Anderson <abbe.anderson@health.govt.nz>; Andrew Slater <Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>; Dale Bramley <dale.bramley@health.govt.nz>; Fionnagh Dougan <Fionnagh.Dougan@health.govt.nz>; Jeremy Holman <Jeremy.Holman@health.govt.nz>; Leigh Donoghue <Leigh.Donoghue@health.govt.nz>; Markerita Poutasi <Markerita.Poutasi@health.govt.nz>; Nick Chamberlain <nick.chamberlain@health.govt.nz>; Peter Alsop <Peter.Alsop@health.govt.nz>; Ramon Manzano <Ramon.Manzano@health.govt.nz>; Richard Sullivan <richard.sullivan@health.govt.nz>; Rosalie Percival <Rosalie.Percival@health.govt.nz>

Subject: Why can't we have a chat with your epidemiologists?

Importance: High

Margie,

I remain utterly baffled why we can't arrange a chat between your epidemiologists and myself and my experts (S 9(2)(a)) to discuss the data that I've made publicly available to the world to see.

Our analysis shows that the vaccines are killing people in New Zealand. The data indicates that thousands of people have been killed by this vaccine.

If we got it wrong, we are perfectly happy to admit that we got it wrong.

The best way to resolve this dispute is to have a friendly chat with your epidemiologists where we can go over our time-series cohort analysis as well as the death rates relative to vaccination date analysis. Both methods show the vaccine is deadly.

Do you believe that scientific disagreements are best settled by dialog? Or by silencing dissent?

I look forward to hearing from you.

- Steve

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)
Sent: Wednesday, 6 December 2023 3:18 am
To: hnzOIA <hnzOIA@health.govt.nz>
Subject: I have information on S 9(2)(a), the Oracle DBA and the data leak
Importance: High

Is there someone who I can speak to ?

My phone number is S 9(2)(a) and I'm in the UK right now.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)
Sent: Wednesday, 13 December 2023 12:07 pm
To: Abbe Anderson; Andrew Slater; Dale Bramley; Fionnagh Dougan; Jeremy Holman; Leigh Donoghue; Margie Apa; Markerita Poutasi; Nick Chamberlain; Peter Alsop; Ramon Manzano; Richard Sullivan; Rosalie Percival
Subject: Italian Health Minister Under Investigation for Murder for Concealing COVID-19 Vaccine Deaths

This just came out today:

[Italian Health Minister Under Investigation for Murder for Concealing COVID-19 Vaccine Deaths](#)

As I've said before, your own data shows definitively that the COVID vaccines are killing people.

I'd be happy to explain this to your epidemiologists. The effect is inexplicable if the vaccines are safe.

The fact that you are all ignoring my offer is evidence of a cover up.

Are you all in on a conspiracy to kill people?

If you don't trust me, have your epidemiologists contact S 9(2)(a) He's one of the top epidemiologists in the world and is aware of the problems with your data and can explain it to your epidemiologists.

FAILURE TO ACT ON THIS CAN BE VIEWED AS CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE.

This email is being posted to X as well to create a public record.
-steve

Out of scope

Subject: FW: Can I see your time-series analysis of your data?

Importance: High

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)

Sent: Friday, 8 December 2023 6:38 am

To: Abbe Anderson <abbe.anderson@health.govt.nz>; Andrew Slater <Andrew.Slater@health.govt.nz>; Dale Bramley <dale.bramley@health.govt.nz>; Fionnagh Dougan <Fionnagh.Dougan@health.govt.nz>; Jeremy Holman <Jeremy.Holman@health.govt.nz>; Leigh Donoghue <Leigh.Donoghue@health.govt.nz>; Margie Apa <margie.apa@health.govt.nz>; Markerita Poutasi <Markerita.Poutasi@health.govt.nz>; Nick Chamberlain <nick.chamberlain@health.govt.nz>; Peter Alsop <Peter.Alsop@health.govt.nz>; Ramon Manzano <Ramon.Manzano@health.govt.nz>; Richard Sullivan <richard.sullivan@health.govt.nz>; Rosalie Percival <Rosalie.Percival@health.govt.nz>

Cc: S 9(2)(a)

Subject: Can I see your time-series analysis of your data?

Importance: High

Our time-series cohort analysis of YOUR data shows you are killing people

I've had this reviewed by S 9(2)(a) and S 9(2)(a).

The signal is crystal clear: there is a huge "hump" of excess deaths that happens after the shots are given.

If there is a mistake in our analysis and the vaccines are safe, I'm open to seeing your analysis and publicizing it.

If there is no mistake, then you need to stop the vaccines now.

It would be criminally negligent to continue to have them available.

IT IS EXTREMELY TROUBLING that you are keeping your time-series cohort analysis secret. If the vaccine is safe, why would you keep your analysis secret. PUBLISH IT. NOW.

Thank you for your attention. Your former employee, S 9(2)(a), is a hero for bringing this matter to the world's attention.

You should all watch Russell Brand's show on Friday. I'm featured and you are all a big part of the show.

-steve

Out of scope

From: Steve Kirsch S 9(2)(a)
Sent: Friday, 26 January 2024 8:19 am
To: Margie Apa; Andrew Slater
Subject: Voluntary removal of PHI from my website

Importance: High

I understand your law firm is attempting to have the obfuscated information taken down.

You don't have any jurisdiction in the US to compel any US firm to do that.

If you think you do, you need to get a new lawyer.

Our investigation thus far has shown that with considerable effort, we believe someone with expert technical knowledge could potentially identify a very small number of individuals, some of these individuals deceased. Once our forensic work is complete, we will contact the families of those that could be identified.

In the interest of protecting people's privacy, I am willing to consider individual requests to remove records which you believe match someone's records.

I am not aware of a single record that I published that matches the PHI of any living person. Every field was modified.

If I am mistaken and you can identify any such records, I am happy to redact them if they pose a risk of disclosure or harm to any individual.

Please immediately send me the record IDs of any such record(s) and the reason you believe this is causing harm and I will process your request with urgency.

Also, I wanted to make you aware of this paper published today in the medical peer-reviewed literature. This shows S was correct in alerting you to the harms caused by the vaccines. You should be promoting him, not prosecuting him.

<https://kirschsubstack.com/p/published-today-in-the-medical-peer>

Finally, one of New Zealand's epidemiologists, S 9(2)(a), confirmed that my time-series analysis and visualizations were done without error.

Her explanations, that the divergence in slopes were caused by COVID and/or comorbidities was LUDICROUS.

When I pointed this out to her, she blocked me.

It will be hilarious to have her, and other epidemiologists, called to the stand to testify in S 9(2) trial. I wanted to THANK YOU profusely for giving us this opportunity to have these experts be compelled to testify in court. It's clear

we cannot get them to talk any other way short of a court of law. You will single-handedly be credited to bringing down the narrative by enabling this. The world will finally see that all these epidemiologists are unable to explain the harms exposed by the data [redacted] gave to me. I am so looking forward to this. THANK YOU!

I look forward to hearing from you on the records you'd like me to consider removing.

-steve

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Out of scope

From: Steve Kirsch [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 26 January 2024 7:13 pm
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]; Andrew Slater; Margie Apa
Subject: URGENT - Deletion request

Importance: High

Hi [REDACTED]

What a pleasure to meet you!

If you want me to remove PHI, I would be happy to do so.

Why didn't you just ask me nicely? Doing an end-run directly to my hosting provider doesn't sound like you are dealing in good faith.

I'm sure you want to save lives just like I do, but pulling a stunt like this is NOT HELPFUL to building a great relationship with me.

As you know, you have **no jurisdiction** over me or my hosting provider. So **pissing me off is simply NOT a good idea.** It doesn't serve your client well to do that.

You'll have as much luck **COMPELLING** me to remove the data as the DOJ had with the NY Times and the Pentagon Papers. You should study that decision. It didn't end well for the DOJ. Here's a link:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_York_Times_Co._v._United_States

I've told Margie Apa that I'd be happy to remove any MRN which discloses PHI.

She ignored my request. I don't get it. If you want to mitigate damages, ignoring my request is stupid.

I suggest you tell them to **IMMEDIATELY** supply a list of offending MRNs and the NHI number which matches. Will you do that for me?

As far as I know, all the records I published are fully obfuscated so that it is impossible, even for me, to discover the PHI of any person or match the MRN with an NHI.

The records I published do not match the records of any person living or dead. Not a single one. Am I mistaken?

If Health New Zealand disagrees, kindly provide the MRN and the matching NHI identifier.

If you cannot do this, then what's the issue?

I don't want to harm anyone, and I'm happy to **VOLUNTARILY** redact any offending records, but you have provided **NO EVIDENCE OF HARM.**

I request that you IMMEDIATELY provide a list of offending MRNs and the associated NHI so that damages can be mitigated.

I can be reached at S 9(2)(a) and would be DELIGHTED to have a RECORDED discussion on this matter if you have any questions. I'm in California and you can call up to 10pm PST.

Finally, I published an article:

<https://kirschsubstack.com/p/an-open-letter-to-the-new-zealand>

Health New Zealand took NO ACTION on my request.

If they publish the FULL data, I'm happy to remove my data as it will no longer be needed. Why did they ignore that? That's another way to solve the problem.

I look forward to hearing from you.

-steve

P. S. S 9(2)(a) is a hero. These records prove that Health New Zealand has been KILLING people with these vaccines. Maybe you should ask them to look at the data that was leaked? **I requested a meeting with their epidemiologists to explain to me** why, if the vaccine is safe, the mortality curves between vaxxed and background diverge. THEY REFUSED TO DO THAT. Why would they do that unless they are HIDING SOMETHING: like the fact that they are the cause of the excess deaths of THOUSANDS of people in New Zealand. **Why can't we talk about it? I'd be happy to invite S 9(2)(a) to the meeting; he is one of the TOP EPIDEMIOLOGISTS in the world. WHY CAN'T WE TALK ABOUT IT?**

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Out of scope

From: Steve Kirsch [redacted]
Sent: Friday, 26 January 2024 8:15 pm
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]; Andrew Slater; Margie Apa
Subject: Newly published article on HNZ / [redacted] attempts to take down my site
Importance: High



[redacted]

Check out the article and the reader comments.

As I said, I'm happy to remove any offending MRNs.

Send me a list and evidence of how they can cause harm and I'll be delighted to consider your request.

And let's have that discussion with the epidemiologists, ok?

-steve